Part D. Chapter 2: Dietary Patterns, Foods and Nutrients, and Health Outcomes

INTRODUCTION

1

2

3

27

- 4 A healthy diet is a pillar of well-being throughout the lifespan. It promotes the achievement of
- 5 healthy pregnancy outcomes; supports normal growth, development and aging; helps maintain
- 6 healthful body weight; reduces chronic disease risks; and promotes overall health and well-
- 7 being. Previous Dietary Guidelines Advisory Committees focused on examining specific foods,
- 8 nutrients, and dietary components and their relationships to health outcomes. In its review,
- 9 however, the 2010 DGAC noted that it is often not possible to separate the effects of individual
- nutrients and foods, and that the totality of diet—the combinations and quantities in which foods
- and nutrients are consumed—may have synergistic and cumulative effects on health and
- disease. This approach has been adopted by others as well (e.g. American Heart Association,
- 13 American College of Cardiology and the National Cancer Institute) and is being used by the
- 14 2015 DGAC. The 2010 Committee acknowledged the importance of dietary patterns and
- 15 recommended additional research in this area. After the release of the 2010 Dietary Guidelines
- 16 for Americans, the USDA Nutrition Evidence Library (NEL) completed a systematic review
- project examining the relationships between dietary patterns and several health outcomes,
- including cardiovascular disease (CVD), body weight and type 2 diabetes.² Their report has been
- used by the 2015 DGAC.

20 As also noted in the *2010 Dietary Guidelines for Americans*, individuals can achieve a healthy

- diet in multiple ways and preferably with a wide variety of foods and beverages. Optimal
- 23 nutrition can be attained with many dietary patterns and a single dietary pattern approach or
- 24 prescription is unnecessary. Indeed, for long-term maintenance, a dietary pattern to support
- optimal nutrition and health should be based on the biological and medical needs as well as
- 26 preferences of the individual.
- 28 Dietary patterns are defined as the quantities, proportions, variety or combinations of different
- 29 foods and beverages in diets, and the frequency with which they are habitually consumed.
- 30 Americans consume many habitual dietary patterns, rather than a "typical American pattern,"
- 31 which reflect their life experiences and wide-ranging personal, socio-cultural and other
- 32 environmental influences. The nutritional quality of a dietary pattern can be determined by
- assessing the nutrient content of its constituent foods and beverages and comparing these
- 34 characteristics to age- and sex-specific nutrient requirements and standards for nutrient
- adequacy, as shown in *Part D. Chapter 1: Food and Nutrient Intakes, and Health: Current*
- 36 Status and Trends for the USDA Food Patterns, including the "Healthy U.S.-style Pattern," the
- 37 "Healthy Mediterranean-style Pattern," and the "Healthy Vegetarian Pattern." Understanding the
- array of dietary patterns in a population and their nutrient quality allows a more complete

characterization of individual eating behaviors and enables their examination in relationship with diverse health outcomes. For these reasons, the DGAC focused on considering the evidence for overall dietary patterns in addition to key foods and nutrients. A major goal was to describe the common characteristics of a healthy diet, which informed and is complementary to the quantitative description of dietary patterns provided in *Part D. Chapter 1: Food and Nutrient Intakes, and Health: Current Status and Trends*.

Dietary patterns can be characterized in three main ways, drawing from Dr. Susan Krebs-Smith's presentation to the DGAC during the second public meeting (available at www.DietaryGuidelines.gov). The first is by the use of an a priori index that is based on a set of dietary recommendations for a healthy dietary pattern as a result of scientific consensus or proposed by investigators using an evidence-based approach. An individual's index/score is derived by comparing and quantifying their adherence to the criterion food and/or nutrient component of the index and then summed up over all components. A population's average mean and individual component scores can be similarly determined. Examples of dietary quality scores include: the Healthy Eating Index (HEI)-2005 and 2010, the Alternate HEI (AHEI) and updated AHEI-2010, the Recommended Food Score (RFS), the Dietary Approaches to Stop

Hypertension (DASH) score, ⁶ the Mediterranean Diet Score (MDS), ⁷ and the Alternate

Mediterranean Diet Score (aMed).8

The second method of dietary pattern assessment is through data-driven approaches, such as cluster analysis (which addresses the question, "Using the self-reported food and beverage intake data are there groups of people with distinct (non-overlapping) dietary patterns?") and factor analysis (which addresses the question, "Which components of the diet track together to explain variations in food or beverage intake across diet patterns?"). These data-driven approaches are outcome-independent. That is, the relationships between the dietary patterns and intermediate or longer-term health outcomes are examined once the patterns themselves are defined. Other data-driven approaches are outcome-dependent, such as reduced rank regression (which addresses the question, "What combination of foods explains the most variation in one or more intermediate health markers?").

The third method examines individuals' food and beverage intake preferences as they are commonly defined by foods included or eliminated. In cohort studies, this pattern is usually based upon qualitative self-reported behaviors rather than detailed questionnaires. Vegetarianism and its various forms (e.g., ovo-lacto vegetarianism) are examples of this type of dietary pattern.

The dietary patterns approach has a number of major strengths. The method captures the relationship between the overall diet and its constituent foods, beverages and nutrients in relationship to outcomes of interest and quality, thereby overcoming the collinearity among single foods and nutrients. In so doing, it considers the inherent interactions between foods and

nutrients in promoting health or increasing disease risk. Because foods are consumed in combinations, it is difficult, if not impossible, to determine their separate effects on health. Relationships or effects attributed to a particular food or nutrient may be accurate or reflect those of other dietary components acting in synergy. The dietary pattern approach has advanced nutrition research by capturing overall food consumption behaviors and its quality in relationship to health.

Despite these considerable strengths, however, the approach has several limitations that are important to consider. First, the dietary assessment instruments used to define the dietary patterns (e.g., food frequency questionnaires [FFQ] and 24–hour or multi-day dietary recalls or records) are based upon self-report and may introduce levels of report bias that can attenuate diet-health relationships. The FFQ has been evaluated as a valid and reliable measure of usual food and nutrient intake. However, the extent to which data from FFQs are valid measures of dietary patterns is not well established. Second, dietary patterns are not uniformly defined by investigators and vary substantially from one study to the next even though studies may use the same nomenclature. This may hamper cross-study comparisons and limits reproducibility. Third, scoring algorithms used to evaluate dietary pattern adherence may differ and affect the results of studies examining specific health outcomes. Fourth, data-driven methods may not derive comparable patterns in different populations because these patterns may be population specific. Lastly, dietary patterns do not assess the frequency of meal and snack consumption, specific combinations of foods consumed together, and aspects of food purchase and preparation, all of which may influence the overall dietary pattern.

Another challenge to examining dietary patterns is that randomized dietary intervention studies have used different approaches for ensuring that subjects comply with the intervention diet when testing their relationships with health outcomes. For example, randomized controlled trials (RCTs), such as Prevencion con Dieta Mediterranean (PREDIMED), coached participants to follow a dietary pattern and provided them with key foods (e.g., olive oil or nuts) to facilitate adherence. In contrast, feeding studies (another form of intervention study), such as those conducted in the DASH and the Optimal Macronutrient Intake Trial for Heart Health (OmniHeart), provided all food to be consumed to each participant. These study designs across randomized trials and feeding studies provide strong evidence for the benefits and risks of particular dietary patterns because a prescribed intervention allows relatively precise definition of dietary exposures, and randomization helps ensure that any potential confounding variables are randomly distributed between study arms. However, some trials (i.e. DASH, OmniHeart) are necessarily restricted to testing a dietary pattern's effect on an intermediate outcome or a surrogate endpoint, such as blood lipids, because of the complexities involved in maintaining dietary compliance over long study duration. Additionally, the feeding trials fail to represent what happens in real world situations. Thus, well-conducted observational cohort studies provide an important evidentiary complement to RCTs because they enable the study of hard endpoints

for disease in addition to intermediate outcomes and often provide a wider range of exposures for study.

120121122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140141

119

Dietary patterns and their food and nutrient characteristics are at the core of the conceptual model that has guided the DGAC's work (see Part B. Chapter 2: 2015 DGAC Themes and **Recommendations:** Integrating the Evidence), and the relationship of dietary patterns to health outcomes is the centerpiece of this chapter. The Committee considered evidence about the relationship of diet with several health outcomes that are listed as major public health outcomes of concern in Part D. Chapter 1: Food and Nutrient Intakes, and Health: Current Status and *Trends*. Several of these outcomes—CVD, overweight and obesity, type 2 diabetes, congenital anomalies, and bone health—also were addressed by the 2010 DGAC. Others—cancers (lung, colon, prostate and breast) and neurological and psychological illness—while previously addressed, are considered here in more depth and represent an expanded list of health outcomes for which there is growing evidence of a diet-disease relationship. The 2015 Committee was not able to consider the relationship between dietary patterns during the peri- and prenatal period and pregnancy outcomes (e.g., birth weight, preterm birth, pregnancy complications) or other cancer outcomes, such as total cancer mortality or gynecological, pancreatic, and gastric-esophageal cancers due to time limitations and limited work done in these areas involving dietary patterns. However, it is important to note that recently the NIH-AARP Diet and Health Study (n = 492,823) conducted in the United States demonstrated that high adherence on several indices (the HEI-2010, the AHEI-2010, the aMED, and DASH) was associated with lower risk of overall CVD and cancer mortality. The authors concluded that this finding provides further credence for using the dietary pattern approach, indicating that multiple dietary indices reflecting core tenets of a healthy diet may lower the risk of mortality outcomes.⁹

142143144

145

146

147

148

149

150

Over the course of the DGAC's review, when strong or moderate evidence related to dietary patterns and a particular health outcome was available, the Committee focused its discussion on dietary patterns and, as possible, highlighted the most consistent common food and nutrient characteristics identified in the dietary patterns literature. When only limited or insufficient evidence related to dietary patterns and a particular health outcome was available (as in the case of congenital anomalies and neurological and psychological illnesses), the Committee summarized these findings and also provided a brief summary of existing evidence on specific foods and/or nutrients and selected health outcomes.

151152153

154

155

156

157

158

In addition to its work on dietary patterns, the DGAC considered conducting an evidence review on the relationship between the role of the microbiome and various health outcomes. This novel area of research has generated considerable interest in the scientific community and the lay public. Investigators are examining the diversity of organisms (i.e., microbes) that inhabit different parts of the body such as the gut, mouth, skin, and vagina, and are attempting to understand how the microbial communities are influenced by diet, environment, host genetics

160 161 162 163	conducted an exploratory search but did not find sufficient evidence to address this question in the 2015 report. However, the Committee considers the microbiome to be an emerging topic of potential importance to future DGACs.
164	LIST OF QUESTIONS
165	Dietary Patterns and Cardiovascular Disease
166 167	1. What is the relationship between dietary patterns and risk of cardiovascular disease?
168	Dietary Patterns and Body Weight
169 170	2. What is the relationship between dietary patterns and measures of body weight or obesity?
171	Dietary Patterns and Type 2 Diabetes
172 173	3. What is the relationship between dietary patterns and risk of type 2 diabetes?
174	Dietary Patterns and Cancer
175 176	4. What is the relationship between dietary patterns and risk of cancer?
177	Dietary Patterns and Congenital Anomalies
178 179	5. What is the relationship between dietary patterns and risk of congenital anomalies?
180	Dietary Patterns and Neurological and Psychological Illnesses
181 182 183	6. What is the relationship between dietary patterns and risk of neurological and psychological illnesses?
184	Dietary Patterns and Bone Health
185 186	7. What is the relationship between dietary patterns and bone health?
187	METHODOLOGY
188 189 190	For the first time, the 2015 DGAC included a chapter focusing solely on the relationship between dietary patterns and health outcomes. Although the 2010 DGAC considered some research on certain dietary patterns and specific health outcomes, notably body weight, they did not complete

and other microbes, as well as their association with various health outcomes. The DGAC

NEL systematic reviews on this research. The 2015 DGAC began by acknowledging a desire to continue and expand on the total diet approach initiated by the 2010 DGAC. They then identified outcomes of public health concern on which to focus their reviews.

For the purposes of the 2015 DGAC, dietary patterns were defined as the quantities, proportions, variety or combinations of different foods and beverages in diets, and the frequency with which they are habitually consumed. Because the purpose of the Dietary Guidelines is to develop food-based recommendations to promote health and reduce risk of diet-related disease, one of the key aspects of the research that the DGAC considered was a description of the foods and beverages consumed by participants in the studies that the Committee reviewed. This was particularly important for the NEL systematic reviews, for which a description of foods and beverages was a key criterion for inclusion. Data on nutrients were not required for inclusion, but were considered when provided as part of the dietary pattern description.

Self-reported food and beverage intake was typically assessed using a qualitative or semi-quantitative food intake questionnaire (i.e., FFQ). However, some studies used other methods, such as 24-hour recalls. When reviewing the evidence, the Committee attempted to adhere to the language used by the study authors in describing food groupings. There was variability across the food groupings, and this was particularly apparent in the meat group; for example, "total meat" may have been defined as "meat, sausage, fish, and eggs," "red meat, processed meat, and poultry," or various other combinations of meat. Similarly, "vegetables" seemed to most often exclude potatoes, but some studies included potatoes, yet they rarely provided information on how the potatoes were consumed (e.g., fried versus baked). When reported in the studies, the Committee considered these definitions in their review.

Because of the variability in dietary patterns methodology and food groupings reported, the Committee focused on providing a qualitative description of healthy dietary patterns. Additionally, as most studies reported intake in relative terms (e.g., comparing the first and fifth quintiles or across tertiles), the Committee has presented its conclusions with relative terminology (e.g., "higher" and "lower" in a certain component). Quantitative information on dietary patterns is provided in *Part D. Chapter 1: Food and Nutrient Intakes, and Health: Current Status and Trends* as part of the Dietary Patterns Composition section.

A number of studies in the scientific literature describe diets based on macronutrient proportion or test only a specific food group or nutrient in the diet. For example, a low-carbohydrate diet fits this description and has been of public interest. The DGAC reviewed the body of evidence related to this type of diet as part of Question 2. Additionally, the Committee examined the results of exploratory searches on low-carbohydrate diets (defined as less than 45 percent of calories from carbohydrate) and all of the health outcomes considered in this chapter published since 2000. Overall, it appears that only limited evidence is available to address the relationship

between low-carbohydrate diets and health, particularly evidence derived from U.S.-based

populations. The most evidence available focuses on low-carbohydrate diets and body weight.

233 The 2010 DGAC examined the relationship between macronutrient proportion and various body

weight outcomes, concluding that:

"1) There is strong and consistent evidence that when <u>calorie</u> intake is controlled, macronutrient proportion of the diet is not related to losing weight; 2) A moderate body of evidence provides no data to suggest that any one macronutrient is more effective than any other for avoiding weight re-gain in weight reduced persons; 3) A moderate body of evidence demonstrates that diets with less than 45% of <u>calories</u> as <u>carbohydrates</u> are not more successful for long-term weight loss (12 months). There is also some evidence that they may be less safe. In shorter-term studies, low-calorie, high-<u>protein</u> diets may result in greater weight loss, but these differences are not sustained over time; and 4) A moderate amount of evidence demonstrates that intake of <u>dietary patterns</u> with less than 45% calories from <u>carbohydrate</u> or more than 35% calories from <u>protein</u> are not more effective than other diets for weight loss or weight maintenance, are difficult to maintain over the long term, and may be less safe."

The published literature since that review does not provide sufficient evidence to change these conclusions. Thus, in summary, although studies that examine macronutrient proportion or that test only a specific food group or nutrient are important, they answer different questions related to diet and health than those proposed by the DGAC. In addition, these studies generally did not meet the DGAC's definition of a dietary pattern study unless a full description of the dietary pattern consumed was provided and appropriate methods were used to adjust for the confounding of foods and nutrients.

253254

235

236

237

238

239

240

241

242

243

244

245

246

247

248

249

250

251

252

255 Questions 1, 2, and 3 were answered using existing reports, systematic reviews, and meta-256 analyses. All three of these questions were addressed in the NEL Dietary Patterns Systematic 257 Review Project. This project was supported by USDA's Center for Nutrition Policy and 258 Promotion and was informed by a Technical Expert Collaborative of experts in dietary patterns research.² Additionally, the DGAC reviewed reports from systematic reviews recently conducted 259 260 by the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute (NHLBI) that included dietary patterns 261 research. For Question 1, the DGAC used the NHLBI Lifestyle Interventions to Reduce Cardiovascular Risk: Systematic Evidence Review from the Lifestyle Work Group 10 and the 262 associated American Heart Association (AHA)/ American College of Cardiology (ACC) 263 Guideline on Lifestyle Management to Reduce Cardiovascular Risk. 11 For Question 2. the DGAC 264 used the NHLBI Managing Overweight and Obesity in Adults: Systematic Evidence Review from 265 the Obesity Expert Panel¹² and the associated AHA/ACC/The Obesity Society (TOS) Guideline 266 for the Management of Overweight and Obesity in Adults. 13 For all three questions, in an attempt 267 to capture new research published since the searches for these systematic reviews were 268

to capture new research published since the searches for these systematic reviews were

269 completed, the Committee considered existing systematic reviews and meta-analyses published

in peer-reviewed journals since 2008. The existing systematic reviews and meta-analyses

considered by the DGAC had to meet the general inclusion criteria of the DGAC, and were required to consider dietary patterns and the outcomes of interest. A description of the process the DGAC used to answer existing report questions is provided in *Part C: Methodology*. The DGAC followed this approach, including consideration of reference overlap, for all three questions. For more information on the existing reports, systematic reviews, and meta-analyses considered by the DGAC, the reader is encouraged to review the original sources, which are

Questions 4, 5, 6, and 7 were answered using NEL systematic reviews. A description of the NEL process is provided in *Part C: Methodology*. All reviews were conducted in accordance with NEL methodology, and the DGAC made all substantive decisions required throughout the process to ensure that the most complete and relevant body of evidence was identified and evaluated to answer each question. All steps in the process were documented to ensure transparency and reproducibility. Specific information about individual systematic reviews can be found at www.NEL.gov, including the search strategy, inclusion and exclusion criteria, a complete list of included and excluded articles, and a detailed write-up describing the included studies and the body of evidence. A link for each question is provided following each evidence review.

Introductory sections were written for Questions 4, 5, 6, and 7 because the conclusion statements for these questions were graded limited or insufficient. The purpose of the introduction was to provide a brief description of the current evidence available related to foods and nutrients and the health outcome of interest. However, this evidence was not considered in developing the dietary pattern conclusion statements. During the course of the dietary pattern reviews, the DGAC chose to highlight particular components of the diet, which are discussed further in *Part D. Chapter 6: Cross-Cutting Topics of Public Health Importance*.

Question 1: What is the relationship between dietary patterns and risk of cardiovascular disease?

Source of evidence: Existing reports

referenced within each evidence review.

Conclusion

The DGAC concurs with the conclusions of the NEL Dietary Patterns Systematic Review Project and AHA/ACC *Guideline on Lifestyle Management to Reduce Cardiovascular Risk* that strong and consistent evidence demonstrates that dietary patterns associated with decreased risk of CVD are characterized by higher consumption of vegetables, fruits, whole grains, low-fat dairy, and seafood, and lower consumption of red and processed meat, and lower intakes of refined grains, and sugar-sweetened foods and beverages relative to less healthy patterns. Regular consumption of nuts and legumes and moderate consumption of alcohol also are shown to be components of a

310 beneficial dietary pattern in most studies. Randomized dietary intervention studies have 311 demonstrated that healthy dietary patterns exert clinically meaningful impact on cardiovascular 312 risk factors, including blood lipids and blood pressure. Additionally, research that includes 313 specific nutrients in their description of dietary patterns indicate that patterns that are lower in 314 saturated fat, cholesterol, and sodium and richer in fiber, potassium, and unsaturated fats are 315 beneficial for reducing cardiovascular disease risk. DGAC Grade: Strong 316 317 **Implications** 318 Individuals are encouraged to consume dietary patterns that emphasize vegetables, fruits, whole 319 grains, legumes, and nuts; include low-fat dairy products and seafood; limit sodium, saturated 320 fat, refined grains, and sugar-sweetened foods and beverages; and are lower in red and processed 321 meats. Multiple dietary patterns can achieve these food and nutrient patterns and are beneficial 322 for cardiovascular health, and they should be tailored to individuals' biological needs and 323 cultural as well as individual food preferences. The Committee recommends the development 324 and implementation of programs and services at the individual and population levels that 325 facilitate the improvement in eating behaviors consistent with the above dietary patterns. 326 327 **Review of the Evidence** 328 The DGAC examined research compiled in the NEL Dietary Patterns Systematic Review 329 Project, which included 55 articles summarizing evidence from 52 prospective cohort studies and 330 7 RCTs, and the 2013 AHA/ACC Lifestyle Guideline and associated NHLBI Lifestyle Report, 331 which included primarily RCTs. The Committee drew additional evidence and effect size 332 estimates from six published systematic reviews/meta-analyses published since 2008 that included one or more studies not covered in the NEL or NHLBI Lifestyle reports. 14-19 In total, 333 142 articles were considered in these reports, of which 35 were included in two or more reviews. 334 335 Little evidence on the contribution of dietary patterns to CVD risk factors in the pediatric 336 populations was available, and that which was published was not systematically reviewed. 337 338 Most evidence examining hard disease endpoints comes from large, prospective cohort studies in 339 adults using a priori scores to rank individuals with respect to adherence to dietary patterns of 340 interest. Though the observational design allows the necessary duration of follow-up to observe 341 CVD endpoints, comparison across studies was difficult because of different methods for 342 deriving scores and different versions of scores measuring adherence to the same dietary pattern. 343 In the Mediterranean dietary indices and the AHEI scores, moderate alcohol was included as a 344 "positive" component (associated with potential benefits). Red and processed meats were 345 "negative" (potentially detrimental) components in the Mediterranean scores, AHEI scores, and 346 DASH. Certain scores also included sugars or sugar-sweetened beverages as negative 347 components. Poultry was considered as a positive component in the original AHEI. Total high-

fat dairy was a negative component in the Mediterranean diet scores, but dairy was a positive

component when meeting recommended intakes for the HEI-2005, and low-fat dairy was positive in the DASH scores. As the NEL systematic review points out, several components of scores associated with decreased CVD risk recurred in multiple dietary patterns and were associated as part of scores and as individual components with reduced CVD risk. These included consumption of vegetables, fruits, whole grains, nuts, legumes, unsaturated fats, and fish.

The NHLBI Lifestyle Report summarized the evidence from two RCTs of the DASH dietary pattern and two trials testing DASH variations with differing levels of sodium or macronutrients. The diet provided to participants in standard DASH intervention trials was high in vegetables, fruits, low-fat dairy products, whole grains, poultry, fish, and nuts. It also was low in sweets, sugar-sweetened beverages, and reduced in (or lower in) red and processed meats. The DASH dietary pattern is high in fiber and potassium and low in sodium, saturated fat, total fat, and cholesterol. It is rich in potassium, magnesium, and calcium, as well as protein and fiber.

In contrast to the patterns described above, vegetarian diets were defined by what they excluded. Variations included: vegan (no meat, fish, eggs, or dairy); lacto-ovo vegetarian (includes eggs and dairy, but no fish or meat), and pesco-vegetarian (includes fish, but no meat) diets. The content of these diets varied substantially, though they tended to emphasize plant based foods, especially fruits and vegetables, legumes, nuts, and whole grains.

Dietary Patterns and Blood Pressure (BP)

DASH or DASH-style Dietary Patterns

The NEL systematic review and AHA/ACC Lifestyle Guideline conclude that strong and consistent evidence from RCTs demonstrates that compared to a dietary pattern that is relatively high in saturated fat and sodium and low in vegetables and fruits, the DASH-style dietary pattern reduced BP by approximately 6/3 mmHg (systolic blood pressure/diastolic blood pressure) across subgroups defined by sex, race, age, and hypertension status. The DASH trial provided all food to participants for 8 weeks. Fat intake was relatively low at 26 percent of energy (7 percent each monounsaturated and saturated, 10 percent polyunsaturated), compared to 36 percent in the control group. Carbohydrates accounted for 57 percent of energy and protein for 18 percent. Sodium was stable at 3,000 mg/day and body weight did not change. Variations of the DASH diet also lowered blood pressure: in the OmniHeart Trial, compared to the standard DASH, replacing 10 percent of calories from carbohydrate with either the same calorie content of protein or with unsaturated fat (8 percent MUFA and 2 percent PUFA) lowered systolic BP by 1 mmHg. Among adults with BP 140–159/90–95 mmHg, these substitutions lowered systolic BP by 3 mmHg relative to standard DASH.

Observational evidence summarized in the NEL report included one cohort showing that increased DASH score was associated with small, but decreased levels of systolic and diastolic

BP over time;²⁰ two others cohorts showed no relationship between DASH scores and risk of hypertension.^{21, 22}

390391392

389

Mediterranean-Style Dietary Patterns

- Several RCTs provide limited to moderate evidence on the benefits of a Mediterranean-style diet for reducing blood pressure. The AHA/ACC Lifestyle Guideline conclude that consuming a Mediterranean dietary pattern instead of a lower-fat dietary pattern had beneficial effects on blood pressure. The NHLBI Lifestyle Report reviewed two RCTs of free-living middle-aged or older adults (with type 2 diabetes or at least three CVD risk factors) in which a Mediterranean diet intervention reduced BP by 6–7/2–3 mmHg.^{23, 24} The report also reviewed one observational study of healthy younger adults. Higher adherence to a Mediterranean-style diet, as measured
- study of healthy younger adults. Higher adherence to a Mediterranean-style diet, as measured through a Mediterranean score, was associated with a decrease in BP of 2–3/1–2 mmHg.²⁵

401 402

Vegetarian Dietary Patterns

403 Evidence for the blood pressure benefits of vegetarian dietary patterns is more limited, but 404 moderately consistent trends appear to exist. A recent meta-analysis of seven RCTs found that 405 consumption of vegetarian diets was associated with a reduction in mean systolic blood pressure (-4.8 mm Hg; 95% CI = -6.6 to -3.1; p < 0.01) and diastolic blood pressure (-2.2 mm Hg; 95% CI)406 = -3.5 to -1.0) compared with the consumption of omnivorous diets. ¹⁹ The AHA/ACC Lifestyle 407 408 Guideline did not find sufficient evidence to examine vegetarian dietary patterns, and the NEL 409 systematic review summarized only three studies comparing blood pressure outcomes in lacto-410 ovo vegetarian diets versus non-vegetarian diets in which meat and fish were consumed. Of the two studies, one was a large prospective cohort that found no association with blood pressure.²⁶ 411 412 and the other was a RCT among individuals with hypertension that demonstrated a decrease in systolic blood pressure, but not diastolic blood pressure.²⁷ The more recent EPIC-Oxford cohort 413 found lower systolic, but not diastolic blood pressure compared to the findings of Crowe, 2013.²⁸ 414

415 416

Other Dietary Patterns

- 417 As summarized in the NEL systematic review, adherence to the 2005 Dietary Guidelines for
- 418 Americans was related to lower blood pressure in one study of healthy young adults. Zamora et
- al reported 20-year findings from the CARDIA study including 4,381 Black and White young
- 420 adults.²⁹ Participants in the highest (vs. lowest) quartile of adherence to the 2005 Dietary
- Guidelines had significantly less increase in systolic and diastolic blood pressure over time.

422423

Dietary Patterns and Blood Lipids

424 DASH or DASH-style Dietary Patterns

- 425 As reviewed in the NHLBI Lifestyle Report, RCTs of the DASH diet show favorable effects on
- low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C) and total cholesterol: high-density lipoprotein
- cholesterol (total-C: HDL-C) ratio, and no effect on triglycerides (TG). Benefits were seen with a

- variety of different macronutrient compositions, though they were enhanced when some
- carbohydrates in the standard DASH pattern were replaced with protein or unsaturated fat. In the
- standard DASH, when food was supplied to adults with a total cholesterol level of less than 260
- 431 mg/dL and LDL-C less than 160 mg/dL, and body weight was kept stable, the DASH dietary
- pattern compared to the control diet decreased LDL-C by 11 mg/dL, decreased HDL-C by 4
- 433 mg/dL, and had no effect on TG. The OmniHeart trial tested the DASH dietary pattern with
- different macronutrient compositions among adults with average baseline LDL-C 130 mg/dL,
- 435 HDL-C 50 mg/dL, and TG 100 mg/dL. Modifying the DASH diet by replacing 10 percent of
- calories from carbohydrate with 10 percent of calories from protein decreased LDL-C by 3
- 437 mg/dL, decreased HDL-C by 1 mg/dL, and decreased TG by 16 mg/dL compared to the DASH
- dietary pattern. Replacing 10 percent of calories from carbohydrate with 10 percent of calories
- from unsaturated fat (8 percent MUFA and 2 percent PUFA) decreased LDL-C similarly,
- increased HDL-C by 1 mg/dL, and decreased TG by 10 mg/dL compared to the DASH dietary
- 441 pattern. 11

Mediterranean-style Dietary Patterns

- 444 As with blood pressure, few trials have evaluated the effects of Mediterranean dietary patterns on
- blood lipids. According to the AHA/ACC Lifestyle Guideline, consuming a Mediterranean-style
- diet (compared to minimal or no dietary advice) resulted in no consistent effect on plasma LDL-
- 447 C, HDL-C, and TG. In part, this was due to substantial differences in dietary interventions
- conducted among free-living middle aged or older adults with or without CVD or at high risk for
- 449 CVD. 11 In the PREDIMED trial (reviewed in both the NHLBI Lifestyle and NEL reports), both
- 450 treatment groups (Mediterranean diet +olive oil or +nuts) had favorable changes in HDL-C,
- 451 total-C: HDL-C ratio and TG when compared to the control group, which received minimal
- advice to follow a lower-fat diet.²³ One of the prospective cohort studies reviewed by the NEL
- showed each one-point increase in alternate Mediterranean diet score assessed in adolescence
- and early adulthood was associated with a -6.19 (-10.44, -1.55) mg/dL lower total cholesterol in
- adulthood but no significant effects on HDL-C.³⁰ Of other observational cohorts reviewed, one
- 456 reported adherence to a Mediterranean diet was associated with favorable changes in HDL-C and
- TG,³¹ and another found no associations between adherence to a Mediterranean diet and blood
- 458 lipids.³²

459 460

Vegetarian Dietary Patterns

- The NEL systematic review included three articles on vegetarian patterns that measured blood
- pressure or blood lipids. ²⁶⁻²⁸ One study reported decreased total-C²⁶ and another reported
- decreased non-HDL-C in vegetarian versus non-vegetarian participants.²⁸

464 465

Other Dietary Patterns

- Of note, adherence to the 2005 Dietary Guidelines for Americans also was related to higher
- 467 HDL-C levels in a cohort of Black and White young adults.²⁹

Dietary Patterns and Cardiovascular Disease Outcomes

The NHLBI Lifestyle review did not include any trials examining the evidence of particular dietary patterns with CVD outcomes. Overall, the NEL systematic review found that individuals whose diets mirrored the dietary patterns of interest (typically compared with diets having lower scores) was associated with lower CVD incidence and mortality in 14 out of 17 studies. The studies were predominantly observational, but included some trial evidence, and they typically assessed dietary intakes through self-report. The effect sizes varied substantially, with the decrease in risk of CVD ranging from 22 to 59 percent for increased adherence to various Mediterranean-style dietary patterns and from 20 to 44 percent for increased adherence to a U.S. Dietary Guidelines-related pattern (e.g., HEI or AHEI and updates). The majority of studies that assessed coronary heart disease (CHD) incidence or mortality also reported a favorable association between adherence to a healthy dietary pattern and CHD risk. The lower CHD risk ranged from 29 to 61 percent for greater adherence to Mediterranean-style dietary patterns, from 24 to 31 percent for greater adherence to a U.S. Dietary Guidelines-related pattern, and from 14 to 27 percent for greater adherence to DASH. Similarly, the majority of studies assessing stroke incidence or mortality reported favorable associations, with the lower stroke risk ranging from 13 to 53 percent for greater adherence to a Mediterranean-style dietary pattern and from 14 to 60 percent for greater adherence to a U.S. Dietary Guidelines-related pattern.²

Mediterranean-style Dietary Patterns

To gather additional information on dietary patterns and CVD outcomes, the DGAC consulted two meta-analyses, ^{15, 18} which included many of the same observational prospective cohort studies as one another and as the NEL systematic review. These meta-analyses each reported summary estimates across studies as a 10 percent reduction in risk of CVD (fatal or nonfatal clinical CVD event) per 2-increment increase in adherence to the Mediterranean-style diet. The NEL report also included results from the largest Mediterranean diet trial, PREDIMED, which found that a Mediterranean diet (plus extra virgin olive oil or nuts) had favorable effects in high-risk participants compared to the control group who were advised to reduce dietary fat intake. An approximately 30 percent decrease in risk of major CVD events (a composite endpoint including myocardial infarction, stroke, and deaths) was observed and the trial was stopped early for meeting benefit requirements. ^{2, 33} According to food questionnaires measuring adherence to the assigned diet by the end of follow-up, the intervention groups had significantly increased consumption of fish and legumes and non-significant reductions in refined grains and red meat from baseline, in addition to increased intake of supplemental foods (olive oil or nuts depending on the intervention arm), compared to the control group.

DASH-style Dietary Patterns

A recent meta-analysis¹⁷ of six prospective cohort studies with CVD endpoints assessed DASH-style diet through the Fung et al. method,⁶ which assigns points based on population-specific

508 quintiles of eight DASH dietary pattern components: fruits, vegetables, nuts and legumes, whole 509 grains, low-fat dairy, sodium, red and processed meats, and sweetened beverages. This metaanalysis reported that greater adherence to a DASH-style diet significantly reduced CVD 510 511 (Relative Risk [RR]=0.80; 95% CI = 0.74 to 0.86), CHD (RR=0.79; 95% CI = 0.71 to 0.88), and 512 stroke (RR=0.81; 95% CI = 0.72 to 0.92). All of the studies meta-analyzed also were included 513 the NEL's evidence base for the DASH-style diet. 514 515 **Vegetarian Dietary Patterns** 516 The NEL systematic review concluded that evidence for the effects of vegetarian dietary patterns 517 on cardiovascular endpoints is limited. Most of this evidence was from prospective cohort 518 studies; four out of six studies suggested that a vegetarian dietary pattern was associated with 519 reduced incidence of ischemic heart disease (IHD) or CVD mortality. A meta-analysis of seven studies related to CVD mortality and vegetarian diet¹⁴ (including two of the studies from the 520 NEL systematic review) found that mortality from IHD was significantly lower in vegetarians 521 522 than in non-vegetarians (RR=0.71; 95% CI = 0.56 to 0.87). The authors estimated a 16 percent 523 lower mortality from circulatory diseases (RR=0.84; 95% CI = 0.54 to 1.14) and a 12 percent 524 lower mortality from cerebrovascular disease (RR=0.88; 95% CI = 0.70 to 1.06) in vegetarians 525 compared to non-vegetarians. 526 527 For additional details on this body of evidence, visit: References 2, 10, 11, 14-19 and Appendix 528 E-2.26 529 530 **DIETARY PATTERNS AND BODY WEIGHT** 531 Question 2: What is the relationship between dietary patterns and measures of 532 533 body weight or obesity? 534 **Source of evidence:** Existing reports 535 536 Conclusion 537 The DGAC concurs with the 2013 AHA/ACC/TOS Guideline for the Management of 538 Overweight and Obesity that strong evidence demonstrates that, preferably as part of a 539 comprehensive lifestyle intervention carried out by multidisciplinary teams of professionals or 540 nutrition professionals, overweight and obese adults can achieve weight loss through a variety of 541 dietary patterns that achieve an energy deficit. Clinically meaningful weight losses that were 542 achieved ranged from 4 to 12 kg at 6-month follow-up. Thereafter, slow weight regain is 543 observed, with total weight loss at 1 year of 4 to 10 kg and at 2 years of 3 to 4 kg. However, 544 some dietary patterns may be more beneficial in the long-term for cardiometabolic health. 545 **DGAC Grade: Strong**

548

549

550

551

552

553

- The DGAC concurs with the NEL Dietary Patterns Systematic Review Project that moderate evidence indicates dietary patterns that are higher in vegetables, fruits, and whole grains; include seafood and legumes; are moderate in dairy products (particularly low and non-fat dairy) and alcohol; lower in meats (including red and processed meats), and low in sugar-sweetened foods and beverages, and refined grains are associated with favorable outcomes related to healthy body weight (including lower BMI, waist circumference, or percent body fat) or risk of obesity. Components of the dietary patterns associated with these favorable outcomes include higher intakes of unsaturated fats and lower intakes of saturated fats, cholesterol, and sodium. **DGAC**
- intakes of unsaturaGrade: Moderate

556557

558

559560

561

Evidence for children is limited, but studies in the NEL Dietary Patterns Systematic Review Project and the systematic review focused on this age group by Ambrosini et al.³⁴ suggest that dietary patterns in childhood or adolescence that are higher in energy-dense and low-fiber foods, such as sweets, refined grains, and processed meats, as well as sugar-sweetened beverages, whole milk, fried potatoes, certain fats and oils, and fast foods increase the risk of obesity later on in life. **DGAC Grade: Limited**

562563564

565

566

567

568

569

570

Implications

To achieve and maintain a healthy body weight, individuals are encouraged to consume dietary patterns that are higher in vegetables, fruits, and whole grains; include seafood and legumes; are moderate in dairy products (with an emphasis on low- and non-fat dairy), and alcohol; and are lower in meats (including red and processed meats), sugar-sweetened foods and beverages, and refined grains. During childhood and adolescence, a time period critical for the prevention of obesity later in life, a dietary pattern similar to that associated with a healthy weight in adults should be encouraged.

571572573

574

575

- Among overweight and obese individuals, an energy deficit is necessary to achieve weight loss. This can be achieved through a variety of evidence-based dietary patterns and should be approached with comprehensive lifestyle interventions. While it is possible to lose weight on
- 576 his/her own, it is more successful if conducted by trained professionals or by referral to a
- 577 nutrition professional for individual or group counseling (for more details refer to
- 578 AHA/ACC/TOS Guideline for the Management of Overweight and Obesity¹³ algorithm Box
- 579 11B). Strategies should be based on the individual's preferences and health status and consider
- 580 the socio-cultural influences on lifestyle behaviors that relate to long-term behavior maintenance.
- These approaches are best complemented with population-based approaches, as mentioned in
- 582 Part D. Chapter 3: Individual Diet and Physical Activity Behavior Change and Part D.
- 583 Chapter 4: Food Environment and Settings, which will allow all factors influencing lifestyle
- behaviors to be addressed as defined in the socio-ecological model.

Review of the Evidence

The DGAC considered evidence from the 2013 AHA/ACC/TOS Obesity Guideline and associated NHLBI Obesity Report, which included only randomized trials, ^{12, 13} the NEL Dietary Patterns Systematic Review Project, ² which included 38 studies predominately of prospective cohort design and a few randomized trials, and two systematic reviews/meta-analyses published since 2008. ^{34, 35} In total, 81 articles were considered in these reports. The published reviews provided evidence for the pediatric population (included 7 studies of which 2 overlapped with those in the NEL review) and further evidence for dietary patterns related to the Mediterranean-style diet and its effect on obesity and weight loss (all randomized trials of which 1 out of the 16 studies overlapped with the NEL review).

Dietary Patterns and the Management of Overweight and Obesity

In the NHLBI Obesity Report, the 12 randomized studies described in summary Table 3.1 of the report all confirm that to lose weight, a variety of dietary pattern approaches can be used and a reduction in caloric intake is required. The energy balance equation requires that for weight loss, one must consume less energy than one expends or expend more energy than one consumes. The report states that any one of the following methods can be used to reduce food and calorie intake: prescription of 1,200 to 1,500 kcal/day for women and 1,500 to 1,800 kcal/day for men (kcal levels are usually adjusted for the individual's body weight); prescription of a 500 kcal/day or 750 kcal/day energy deficit; or prescription of an evidence-based diet that restricts certain food types (such as high-carbohydrate foods, low-fiber foods, or high-fat foods) in order to create an energy deficit by reduced food intake.

For the different dietary approaches (provided either as part of a comprehensive lifestyle change intervention carried out by a multi-disciplinary team of trained professionals or within nutrition interventions conducted by nutrition professionals) that the authors of the report evaluated, it is evident that all prescribed diets that achieved an energy deficit were associated with weight loss. There was no apparent superiority of one approach when behavioral components were balanced in the treatment arms. Results indicated that average weight loss is maximal at 6 months with smaller losses maintained for up to 2 years, while treatment and follow-up taper. Weight loss achieved by dietary techniques aimed at reducing daily energy intake ranges from 4 to 12 kg at 6-month follow-up. Thereafter, slow weight regain is observed, with total weight loss at 1 year of 4 to 10 kg and at 2 years of 3 to 4 kg. The following dietary approaches are associated with weight loss if reduction in dietary energy intake is achieved:

• A diet from the European Association for the Study of Diabetes Guidelines, which focuses on targeting food groups, rather than formal prescribed energy restriction while still achieving an energy deficit.

- Higher protein (25 percent of total calories from protein, 30 percent of total calories from fat, 45 percent of total calories from carbohydrate) with provision of foods that realized energy deficit.
- Higher protein ZoneTM-type diet (5 meals/day, each with 40 percent of total calories from carbohydrate, 30 percent of total calories from protein, 30 percent of total calories from fat) without formal prescribed energy restriction but realized energy deficit.
 - Lacto-ovo-vegetarian-style diet with prescribed energy restriction.
- Low-calorie diet with prescribed energy restriction.

638

639

640

641

642

643

644 645

647

648649

650

- Low-carbohydrate (initially less than 20 g/day carbohydrate) diet without formal prescribed energy restriction but realized energy deficit.
- Low-fat (10 percent to 25 percent of total calories from fat) vegan-style diet without formal prescribed energy restriction but realized energy deficit.
- Low-fat (20 percent of total calories from fat) diet without formal prescribed energy restriction but realized energy deficit.
 - Low-glycemic load diet, either with formal prescribed energy restriction or without formal prescribed energy restriction but with realized energy deficit.
 - Lower fat (≤30 percent fat), high dairy (4 servings/day) diets with or without increased fiber and/or low-glycemic index/load foods (low-glycemic load) with prescribed energy restriction.
 - Macronutrient-targeted diets (15 percent or 25 percent of total calories from protein; 20 percent or 40 percent of total calories from fat; 35 percent, 45 percent, 55 percent, or 65 percent of total calories from carbohydrate) with prescribed energy restriction.
- Mediterranean-style diet with prescribed energy restriction.
 - Moderate protein (12 percent of total calories from protein, 58 percent of total calories from carbohydrate, 30 percent of total calories from fat) with provision of foods that realized energy deficit.
 - Provision of high-glycemic load or low-glycemic load meals with prescribed energy restriction.
- The AHA-style Step 1 diet (with prescribed energy restriction of 1,500 to 1,800 kcal/day, <30 percent of total calories from fat, <10 percent of total calories from saturated fat).
- Although these dietary patterns with an energy deficit will result in weight loss during a 6months to 2-year period, long-term health implications with certain patterns may be detrimental to cardiometabolic health. These associations have been discussed in the dietary patterns and cardiovascular health section as well as the saturated fat and cardiovascular health section.

 As presented in Table D2.1 at the end of the chapter, the results of the randomized studies considered in the AHA/ACC/TOS Guideline provide evidence for what works in terms of the components of a comprehensive lifestyle intervention or nutrition interventions that are needed to achieve weight loss with the variety of dietary approaches described above.

Dietary Patterns and their Association with Body Weight

A total of 14 studies met the inclusion criteria for the index/score question of the NEL systematic review and were categorized based on dietary pattern exposure. Two major categories were identified: (1) studies that examined exposure based on a Mediterranean-designated dietary pattern and (2) studies that examined exposure based on expert dietary guidelines recommendations. Taken together, there were six studies on Mediterranean-designated diet scores, ^{23, 31, 32, 36-38} five studies on dietary guidelines-based indices, ³⁹⁻⁴³ two studies on Mediterranean-designated scores and dietary guidelines indices, ^{44, 45} and one study that used a trial-based customized score. ⁴⁶ Two of the studies were RCTs of positive quality ^{23, 46} and 12 were prospective cohort studies. The studies were carried out between 2006 and 2012.

The sample sizes for prospective cohort studies ranged from 732 to 373,803 participants, with follow-up times from 1.5 to 20 years. Ten out of 12 of the prospective cohort studies were conducted with generally healthy adults with a mean age of 25 to 63 years. Two studies were conducted with children and adolescents (one with girls). The two RCTs were conducted in adults with elevated chronic disease risk: one study with a Mediterranean-designated diet intervention on older adults at increased CVD risk with more than 90 percent overweight or obese and one study using an a priori diet intervention on men with pre-existing metabolic syndrome. The sample sizes for the RCTs were from 187 to 769 subjects and duration of follow-up ranged from 3 to 12 months.

Mediterranean-style Dietary Pattern

Four out of the six studies evaluating the Mediterranean style dietary pattern were conducted in Spain. ^{23, 32, 36, 37} Of the other two, one study was the European multicenter study that was part of the EPIC-Physical Activity, Nutrition, Alcohol Consumption, Cessation of Smoking, Eating out of Home, and Obesity (EPIC-PANACEA) study, ³⁸ and one was conducted in the United States. ³¹

- Dietary Patterns and Body Weight and Incidence of Overweight and/or Obesity
- The Prevencion con Dieta Mediterranean (PREDIMED) study tested the effects of a
- Mediterranean diet on the primary prevention of cardiovascular disease in a high-risk group of
- men and women. Subjects either had type 2 diabetes or three cardiovascular disease risk factors
- 695 (such as hypertension or current smoking) and 90 percent were overweight or obese defined as
- 696 BMI \geq 25 kg/m². The PREDIMED trial randomly assigned participants to three interventions: (1)
- Mediterranean diet with extra virgin olive oil, (2) Mediterranean diet with mixed nuts, and (3)

698 low-fat diet. At end of 3 months of a 4-year clinical trial, the authors found that the 699 Mediterranean diet score increased in the two Mediterranean diet groups of the trial and 700 remained unchanged in the low-fat group. However, no significant changes in body weight and 701 adiposity occurred within or between groups from baseline to the 3 months. Beunza et al., 2010 702 reported on a prospective cohort study in Spain, the Seguimiento Universidad de Navarra (SUN) study. 36 Participants with the highest adherence to a Mediterranean dietary pattern, assessed 703 704 using the Trichopoulou Mediterranean Diet Score (MDS) were found to have lower average 705 yearly weight gain, -0.059 kg/y (95% CI = -0.111 to -0.008 kg/y; p for trend = 0.02), than participants in the lowest adherence group. However, the MDS was not associated with 706 707 incidence of overweight or obesity in participants who were normal weight at baseline. Mendez et al., 2006 reported on the EPIC-Spain prospective cohort study.³⁷ Adherence to a 708 709 Mediterranean diet was assessed using a slight modification of the Trichopoulou MDS, with 710 exposure categorized in tertiles of low (0-3), medium (4-5), and high (6-8) adherence. 711 Participants with highest MDS adherence had reduced incidence of obesity when overweight at 712 baseline; overweight women and men were 27 percent and 29 percent, respectively, less likely to 713 become obese. High MDS adherence was not associated with incidence of overweight in subjects 714 who were normal weight at baseline. The EPIC-PANACEA study examined the association 715 between adherence to the relative Mediterranean dietary pattern (rMDS), prospective weight 716 change, and the incidence of overweight or obesity. Participants with high rMED adherence 717 gained less weight in 5 years than did participants with low rMED adherence (-0.16 kg; 95% CI = -0.24 to -0.07 kg) and had a 10 percent lower odds of becoming overweight or obese (OR = 718 719 0.90; 95% CI = 0.82 to 0.96). The contribution of each rMED scoring component also was 720 assessed and it was found that the association between rMED and weight change was no longer 721 significant when meat and meat products were not part of the score. Lastly, a meta-analysis of 722 the odds ratio scores of all 10 European countries showed that a 2-point increase in rMED score 723 was associated with 3 percent (95% CI = 1 to 5%) lower odds of becoming overweight or obese 724 over 5 years.

725726

Dietary Patterns and Waist Circumference

Rumawas et al., 2009 conducted a prospective cohort study using a subset of the Framingham 727 Offspring and Spouse (FOS) study. 31 Dietary exposure was assessed in quintiles of low to high 728 729 adherence to the Mediterranean style dietary pattern score (MSDPS). Participants with a higher 730 MSDPS had significantly lower waist circumference (p for trend < 0.001). Tortosa et al., 2007 reported on the association of the Mediterranean dietary pattern and metabolic syndrome in the 731 SUN study conducted in Spain. 32 Participants in the highest tertile of adherence to the MDS had 732 733 lower waist circumference, -0.05 cm over 6 years (p for trend = 0.038), compared to the lowest 734 tertile.

735

Although some mixed results from prospective studies may be due to differences in the length of follow up, definition of the Mediterranean dietary pattern and population included, the results of

- randomized studies indicate a significant reduction in body weight when calories are restricted.
- A high quality meta-analysis (AMSTAR rating of 11) on the association of a Mediterranean-
- style diet with body weight conducted by Esposito included 16 randomized studies of which
- one³² overlapped with the NEL systematic review was included in the DGAC body of evidence
- for this question. The meta-analysis included studies conducted in the United States, Italy, Spain,
- France, Israel, Greece, Germany, and the Netherlands that lasted from 4 weeks to 24 months
- with a total of 3,436 participants. Using a random effects model, participants in the
- Mediterranean diet group had significant weight loss (mean difference between Mediterranean
- 746 diet and control diet, -1.75 kg; 95% CI = -2.86 to -0.64) and reduction in BMI (mean difference,
- -0.57 kg/m^2 ; 95% CI = 0.93 to 0.21 kg/m²) compared to those in the control arm. The effect of
- Mediterranean diet on body weight was greater in association with energy restriction (mean
- 749 difference, -3.88 kg; 95% CI = -6.54 to -1.21 kg), increased physical activity (-4.01 kg; 95% CI
- = -5.79 to -2.23 kg), and follow up longer than 6 months (-2.69 kg; 95% CI = -3.99 to -1.38 kg).
- Across all 16 studies, the Mediterranean style dietary pattern did not cause weight gain.

Dietary Guidelines-Based Indices

752753

758

- 754 Of the seven studies conducted on dietary guidelines-based indices, three studies were conducted
- in the United States with U.S.-based indices. ^{39, 41, 43} One study was conducted in Germany with
- an index developed in the United States, 40 and two studies were conducted in France (one used a
- 757 French index, 42 and the other compared six different dietary scores). 44

759 <u>Dietary Patterns and Body Weight and Incidence of Overweight and/or Obesity</u>

- Gao et al., 2008 reported on a prospective cohort study of White, African American, Hispanic,
- and Chinese men and women in the Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis (MESA) in the US.
- Two versions of the 2005 HEI were used: the original and a modified version that adjusted the
- food group components to incorporate levels of caloric need based on sex, age, and activity
- level. For the overall population, there was an inverse association between quintiles of each
- HEI score and BMI (p<0.001). The risk of obesity in normal weight participants was inversely
- associated with HEI scores only for Whites (p<0.05). A comparison of the HEI-1995 and HEI-
- 767 2005 scores indicated that beta-coefficients, as predictors of body weight and BMI, were higher
- for the HEI-2005 scores in Whites. Zamora et al., 2010 analyzed data from the prospective
- cohort study, Coronary Artery Risk Development in Young Adults (CARDIA), conducted in the
- United States, to examine the association between diets consistent with the 2005 Dietary
- Guidelines and subsequent weight gain in Black and White young adults. The Diet Quality
- Index (DQI) included 10 components of the 2005 Dietary Guidelines relating to the
- consumption of total fat, saturated fat, cholesterol, added sugars, reduced-fat milk, fruit,
- vegetables, whole grains, nutrient-dense foods, and limited sodium and alcohol intake. They
- found, a 10-point increase in DQI score was associated with a 10 percent lower risk of gaining
- 776 10 kg in normal-weight Whites. However, the same magnitude increase in score was associated
- with a 15 percent higher risk in obese Blacks (p<0.001). Kesse-Guyot et al., 2009 conducted a

778 prospective cohort study in France to examine the association between adherence to a dietary 779 score based on the French 2001 nutritional guidelines (Programme National Nutrition Sante 780 guidelines score (PNNS-GS) and changes in body weight, body fat distribution, and obesity risk. 42 The PNNS-GS includes 12 nutritional components: fruit and vegetables, starchy foods, 781 whole grains, dairy products, meat, seafood, added fat, vegetable fat, sweets, water and soda, 782 783 alcohol, and salt. The last PNNS-GS component is physical activity. In fully adjusted models, an 784 increase of one PNNS-GS unit was associated with lower weight gain (p=0.004), and lower BMI 785 gain (p=0.002). An increase of 1 PNNS-GS unit was associated with a lower probability of 786 becoming overweight (including obese) (OR = 0.93; 95% CI = 0.88 to 0.99). Similarly, an 787 increase of 1 PNNS-GS unit was associated with a lower probability of becoming obese (OR = 788 0.89; 95% CI = 0.80 to 0.99).

Two studies were conducted in children. Cheng et al., 2010 analyzed data from a prospective cohort study conducted in Germany, the Dortmund Nutritional and Anthropometric Longitudinally Designed (DONALD) study, to examine whether the diet quality of healthy children before puberty was associated with body composition at onset of puberty. Adherence to a diet pattern was assessed by the Revised Children's Diet Quality Index (RC-DQI) which was based on the Dietary Guidelines for Americans. In this study, a higher dietary quality was associated with a higher energy intake, and children with a lower diet quality had lower BMI and Fat Mass Index (FMI) Z-scores at baseline (p<0.01) but not at onset of puberty. Berz et al., 2011 reported on a prospective cohort study to assess the effects of the DASH eating pattern on BMI in adolescent females over a 10-year period. Only seven out of the 10 original components of the DASH score were used; the three excluded were added sugars, discretionary fats and oils, and alcohol. Overall, girls in the highest vs. lowest quintile of DASH score had an adjusted mean BMI of 24.4 vs. 26.3 kg/m2 (p<0.05).

Dietary Patterns and Waist Circumference

Gao et al, found, for the overall population in the MESA study, an inverse association between quintiles of each HEI score and waist circumference (WC) (p<0.001).⁴¹ The study by Kesse-Guyot conducted in France showed, in fully adjusted models, an increase of one PNNS-GS unit was associated with lower waist circumference gain (p=0.01) and lower waist-to-hip ratio gain (p=0.02).⁴²

Other Indices

789 790

791

792

793 794

795

796

797

798

799 800

801

802

803 804

805

806

807808

809 810 811

Jacobs et al., 2009 conducted an RCT in Norway, the Oslo Diet and Exercise Study, to examine the effect of changes in diet patterns on body weight and other outcomes among men who met the criteria for the metabolic syndrome (n=187 men). Study participants were randomly assigned to: (1) the diet protocol, (2) the exercise protocol, (3) the diet + exercise protocol, or (4) the control protocol. The trial duration was 12 months. The authors created their own diet score to assess adherence to the intervention. The score was based on summing the participants

- ranking of intake (across tertiles) of 35 food groups that, based on the literature, had a beneficial
- neutral or detrimental effect on health. A higher score reflected greater adherence to the diet
- intervention. Over the course of the intervention, the diet score increased by 2 points (SD ± 5.5)
- in both diet groups, with a decrease of an equivalent amount in the exercise and control groups.
- A 10-point change in the diet score during the intervention period was associated with a 3.5 kg
- decrease in weight, a 2.8 cm decrease in waist circumference and 1.3 percent decrease in percent
- body fat (all significant at p<0.0001).

Studies that Compared Various Dietary Indices

- In a study by Lassale et al., subjects were participants in the SUpplementation en VItamines et
- 828 Minereaux AntioXydants (SU.VI.MAX) study and diet quality was assessed using a
- Mediterranean Score (MDS, rMED, MSDPS), the Diet Quality Index-International (DQI-I), the
- 2005 Dietary Guidelines for Americans Adherence Index (DGAI), and the French Programme
- National Nutrition Sante-Guidelines Score (PNNS-GS).⁴⁴ Overall, better adherence to a
- Mediterranean diet (except for the MSDPS) or expert dietary guidelines was associated with
- lower weight gain in men who were normal weight at baseline (p for trend = <0.05). In addition,
- among the 1,569 non-obese men at baseline, the odds of becoming obese associated with one
- standard deviation increase in dietary score ranged from OR = 0.63 (95% CI = 0.51 to 0.78) for
- the DGAI to OR = 0.72 (95% CI = 0.59 to 0.88) for the MDS, only the MSDPS was non-
- significant. In women, no association between diet scores and weight gain or incidence of
- obesity was found. Woo et al., 2008 reported on a prospective cohort study in Hong Kong to
- 839 examine adherence to a diet pattern using the MDS and the Diet Quality Index International
- 840 (DOI-I).⁴⁵ They found that increased adherence to either the MDS or DOI-I was not associated
- with becoming overweight.

842843

Dietary Patterns from Data-Driven Methods

- In the NEL review, a total of 11 studies from prospective cohort studies were included that either
- used factor or cluster analyses to derive dietary patterns. Eight of the eleven studies were
- studies from the United States, with additional studies from the United Kingdom, Iran, and
- Sweden. The sample sizes ranged from 206 to 51,670 participants with follow-up times from 3 to
- 848 20 years. The majority of the studies were conducted with generally healthy adult men and
- women, 47-52 five studies included women only, 53-57 and one was conducted in children to
- examine weight gain in adolescence over the period of follow-up. ⁵⁶ Outcomes examined
- included change in body weight (3 studies), BMI (7 studies), and waist circumference (6
- studies); one study examined both percent body fat and incidence of overweight/obesity.

- 854 Most of the studies found at least two generic food patterns: a "healthy/prudent" food pattern and
- an "unhealthy/western" pattern. Generally, healthy patterns were associated with more favorable
- body weight outcomes, while the opposite was seen for unhealthy patterns. However, not all
- studies reported significant associations. There was a potential difference in associations found

858 859	by sex: of the three studies that analyzed men and women separately, men tended to have null results. However, data were insufficient to draw conclusions about population subgroups.
860	Furthermore, because the patterns are data-driven, they represent what was consumed by the
861	study population, and thus it is difficult to compare across the disparate patterns. The one study
862	that analyzed the dietary patterns of pre-pubescent children transitioning into adolescence
863	showed that patterns vary widely at this age and caution should be observed when analyzing
864	these data because the diet of children changes rapidly, as does their weight.
865	these data because the diet of children changes rapidry, as does their weight.
866	The DGAC considered the systematic review by Ambrosini et al. that included seven articles,
867	two of which overlapped with the NEL review. ³⁴ Results demonstrated a positive association
868	between a dietary pattern high in energy-dense, high fat, and low fiber foods and later obesity (4
869	of the 7 studies), while three studies demonstrated null associations. The seven longitudinal
870	studies of children from the United Kingdom, United States, Australia, Norway, Finland, and
871	Colombia had follow-up periods ranging from 2 to 21 years and had sample sizes from 427 to
872	6772 individuals. The studies determined dietary patterns using factor or cluster analysis (5) or
873	reduced rank regression (2).
874	reduced rank regression (2).
875	For additional details on this body of evidence, visit: References 2, 13, 34, 35 and Appendix E-
876	2.27
877	
	DIETARY BATTERNO AND TYPE O DIABETED
878	DIETARY PATTERNS AND TYPE 2 DIABETES
878 879 880	Question 3: What is the relationship between dietary patterns and risk of type 2 diabetes?
879	Question 3: What is the relationship between dietary patterns and risk of type 2
879 880	Question 3: What is the relationship between dietary patterns and risk of type 2 diabetes?
879 880 881	Question 3: What is the relationship between dietary patterns and risk of type 2 diabetes?
879 880 881 882	Question 3: What is the relationship between dietary patterns and risk of type 2 diabetes? Source of evidence: Existing reports Conclusion
879 880 881 882 883	Question 3: What is the relationship between dietary patterns and risk of type 2 diabetes? Source of evidence: Existing reports Conclusion Moderate evidence indicates that healthy dietary patterns higher in vegetables, fruits, and whole
879 880 881 882 883	Question 3: What is the relationship between dietary patterns and risk of type 2 diabetes? Source of evidence: Existing reports Conclusion Moderate evidence indicates that healthy dietary patterns higher in vegetables, fruits, and whole grains and lower in red and processed meats, high-fat dairy products, refined grains, and
879 880 881 882 883 884 885 886	Question 3: What is the relationship between dietary patterns and risk of type 2 diabetes? Source of evidence: Existing reports Conclusion Moderate evidence indicates that healthy dietary patterns higher in vegetables, fruits, and whole grains and lower in red and processed meats, high-fat dairy products, refined grains, and sweets/sugar-sweetened beverages reduce the risk of developing type 2 diabetes. DGAC Grade:
879 880 881 882 883 884 885	Question 3: What is the relationship between dietary patterns and risk of type 2 diabetes? Source of evidence: Existing reports Conclusion Moderate evidence indicates that healthy dietary patterns higher in vegetables, fruits, and whole grains and lower in red and processed meats, high-fat dairy products, refined grains, and
879 880 881 882 883 884 885 886 887	Question 3: What is the relationship between dietary patterns and risk of type 2 diabetes? Source of evidence: Existing reports Conclusion Moderate evidence indicates that healthy dietary patterns higher in vegetables, fruits, and whole grains and lower in red and processed meats, high-fat dairy products, refined grains, and sweets/sugar-sweetened beverages reduce the risk of developing type 2 diabetes. DGAC Grade:
879 880 881 882 883 884 885 886 887 888	Question 3: What is the relationship between dietary patterns and risk of type 2 diabetes? Source of evidence: Existing reports Conclusion Moderate evidence indicates that healthy dietary patterns higher in vegetables, fruits, and whole grains and lower in red and processed meats, high-fat dairy products, refined grains, and sweets/sugar-sweetened beverages reduce the risk of developing type 2 diabetes. DGAC Grade: Moderate
879 880 881 882 883 884 885 886 887 888 889	Question 3: What is the relationship between dietary patterns and risk of type 2 diabetes? Source of evidence: Existing reports Conclusion Moderate evidence indicates that healthy dietary patterns higher in vegetables, fruits, and whole grains and lower in red and processed meats, high-fat dairy products, refined grains, and sweets/sugar-sweetened beverages reduce the risk of developing type 2 diabetes. DGAC Grade: Moderate
879 880 881 882 883 884 885 886 887 888 889 890	Question 3: What is the relationship between dietary patterns and risk of type 2 diabetes? Source of evidence: Existing reports Conclusion Moderate evidence indicates that healthy dietary patterns higher in vegetables, fruits, and whole grains and lower in red and processed meats, high-fat dairy products, refined grains, and sweets/sugar-sweetened beverages reduce the risk of developing type 2 diabetes. DGAC Grade: Moderate Evidence is lacking for the pediatric population.
879 880 881 882 883 884 885 886 887 888 889 890 891	Question 3: What is the relationship between dietary patterns and risk of type 2 diabetes? Source of evidence: Existing reports Conclusion Moderate evidence indicates that healthy dietary patterns higher in vegetables, fruits, and whole grains and lower in red and processed meats, high-fat dairy products, refined grains, and sweets/sugar-sweetened beverages reduce the risk of developing type 2 diabetes. DGAC Grade: Moderate Evidence is lacking for the pediatric population. Implications

maintaining a healthy body weight. Diabetes can be prevented through the consumption of a variety of healthy dietary patterns that share these components and that are tailored to the biological needs and socio-cultural preferences of the individual and carried out preferably through counseling by a nutrition professional.

Review of the Evidence

The Committee considered two sources of evidence. The primary source was the NEL Dietary Patterns Systematic Review Project which included 37 studies predominantly of prospective cohorts design and some randomized trials (n=8). This primary source was supplemented by a published meta-analysis that included 15 cohort studies of which 13 overlapped with the NEL review. The meta-analysis provided an estimate of the effect size of incident type 2 diabetes associated with a healthy and unhealthy dietary pattern.

Although the NEL rated the overall body of evidence for type 2 diabetes as limited, this was primarily a result of examining the different methods for defining dietary patterns (e.g. indices, data driven, and reduce rank regression) separately. As such, the NEL noted these methodological inconsistencies across studies but stated general support for the consumption of a dietary pattern rich in vegetables and fruits and low in high-fat dairy and meats. The DGAC concurred with this conclusion. However, the DGAC has elevated the grade of the entire body of evidence to moderate given that the NEL findings were corroborated by the results of a high quality meta-analysis (AMSTAR rating of 11) and the magnitude of the associations that showed when the results of 15 cohort studies are pooled, evidence indicated a 21 percent reduction in the risk of developing type 2 diabetes associated with dietary patterns characterized by high consumption of whole grains, vegetables, and fruit. Conversely, a 44 percent increased risk of developing type 2 diabetes was seen with an unhealthy dietary pattern characterized by higher consumption of red or processed meats, high-fat dairy, refined grains, and sweets.

Dietary Patterns and Incident Type 2 Diabetes

- Dietary Approaches to Stop Hypertension (DASH)
- One study used the DASH score in a cohort of 820 U.S. adults ages 40 to 69 years and with
- equal sex distribution and racial diversity.⁵⁹ Liese et al. found adherence to the DASH score was
- associated with markedly reduced odds of type 2 diabetes in Whites but not in the total
- 927 population, or in the Blacks and Hispanics, which comprised the majority of this cohort.

Mediterranean-style Dietary Patterns

- 930 Three studies assessed Mediterranean-style dietary pattern adherence (Mediterranean Diet Score
- 931 [MDS]) with sample sizes ranging from 5,000 to more than 20,000 in both Mediterranean and
- 932 U.S. populations. One study conducted in Spain with the SUN cohort (n=13,380) found a
- 933 favorable association between the MDS (the original MDS of Trichopoulou) and risk of type 2

- diabetes. Overall, a 2-point increase in MDS was associated with a 35 percent reduction in risk
- of type 2 diabetes. 60 Another study, conducted in Greece with the EPIC-Greece cohort
- 936 (n=22,295), also assessed the relationship between the MDS and type 2 diabetes. In this second
- 937 Mediterranean population, adherence to the MDS also was favorably associated with decreased
- 938 risk of diabetes. 61 Conversely, a study conducted in the United States, using the authors'
- 939 MedDiet Score with the Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis (MESA) cohort (n=5,390) found
- no association between their MedDiet Score and type 2 diabetes incidence in the total
- population, in men or women, or in specific racial/ethnic groups.⁶²

Dietary Indices based on the Dietary Guidelines

- Four studies used dietary guidelines-based indices such as the AHEI and the Diet Quality Index
- 945 (DQI). The sample sizes of the studies ranged from 1,821 to 80,029. A study that assessed
- adherence to the AHEI in the United States found a favorable association between AHEI score
- and risk of incident type 2 diabetes in women in the Nurses' Health Study (n=80,029). 63 In the
- 948 CARDIA study (n=4,381), also from the United States, the authors found no association between
- DQI-2005 score and type 2 diabetes incidence in the total population or in Blacks or Whites.²⁹
- 950 Studies from outside the United States included one conducted in Australia using a Total Diet
- score in the Blue Mountains Eye Study (BMES, n=1,821) and one from Germany using a
- 952 German Food Pyramid Index with the EPIC-Potsdam cohort (n=23,531). Neither found an
- association between these scores and incident type 2 diabetes. ^{64, 65} Thus, evidence for an
- association only exists with the AHEI, which does contain slightly different components from
- 955 the other indices, such as nuts and legumes, trans fat, EPA + DHA (n-3 FAs), PUFAs, alcohol,
- 956 red and processed meat.

957958

959

960

961

962

963

964

965

966 967

968

969

Data-Driven Approaches

Eleven studies used factor analysis and one study used cluster analysis. These analyses were all conducted using data from prospective cohort studies published between 2004 and 2012 and had sample sizes ranging from 690 to more than 75,000 individuals. Five studies were conducted in the United States and the rest from developed countries around the world. Each study identified one to four dietary patterns, with the most common comparison between "western"/"unhealthy" and "prudent"/"healthier" patterns; a total of 35 diverse dietary patterns were identified within the body of evidence. Many studies had null findings, particularly studies with duration of less than 7 years of follow up. 66-69 Patterns associated with lower risk of type 2 diabetes were characterized by higher intakes of vegetables, fruits, low-fat dairy products, and whole grains, and those associated with increased risk were characterized by higher intakes of red meat, sugar-sweetened foods and drinks, French fries, refined grains, and high-fat dairy products. However,

970971972

Three prospective cohort studies used reduced rank regression to examine the relationship

the food groups identified varied substantially, even among patterns with the same name.

between dietary patterns and type 2 diabetes. ⁷⁰⁻⁷² Two of the studies were conducted in the

- United States and one in the United Kingdom. The sample sizes were 880 for Liese (2009), 2,879 for Imamura (2009), and 6,699 for McNaughton (2008). The independent variables in these studies were dietary pattern scores, and biomarkers were used as response variables in two of the studies. Dietary patterns that included meat intake and incident type 2 diabetes were positively associated in the two studies that used biomarkers as response variables, though the definitions of meat differed. However, because so few studies were available and the methodology used and different populations considered varied so much, the information was
- 981982983

Other Dietary Patterns

insufficient to assess consistency or draw conclusions.

984 The body of evidence examined included seven studies conducted between 2004 and 2013, consisting of six RCTs⁷³⁻⁷⁹ and one prospective cohort study (PCS).⁸⁰ Two studies were 985 conducted in the United States; one in the United States and Canada; one in Spain (2 986 987 PREDIMED articles); and one each in Greece, Italy, and Sweden. The sample sizes of the RCTs 988 ranged from 82 to 1,224 participants and the PCS had a sample size of 41,387 participants. All 989 eight studies were conducted in adults. RCT duration ranged from 6 weeks to a median of 4 990 years and the PCS duration was 2 years. The RCTs were primary prevention studies of at-risk 991 participants. Baseline health status in the study participants included those with mild 992 hypercholesterolemia, overweight or obesity, metabolic syndrome, abdominal obesity, and three 993 or more CVD risk factors, including metabolic syndrome. The PCS participants were individuals in the Adventist Health Study who did not have type 2 diabetes. 80 Three studies looked at a 994 Mediterranean-style diet, 75, 77-79 one study examined the Nordic diet (defined by the authors of 995 the study as a diet rich in high-fiber plant foods, fruits, berries, vegetables, whole grains, 996 997 rapeseed oil, nuts, fish and low-fat milk products, but low in salt, added sugars, and saturated fats), 73 and three studies looked at either the DASH diet or a variation of the DASH diet, 74, 76 or a 998 vegetarian diet.80 999

1000 1001

1002

1003 1004

1005

1006

Two of the seven studies examined the association between adherence to a dietary pattern and incidence of type 2 diabetes. Although the results of both studies showed a favorable association between either a Mediterranean-style or a vegetarian dietary pattern and incidence of type 2 diabetes the studies differed in design and dietary pattern used to assess diet exposure. The other studies examined the intermediate outcomes of impaired glucose tolerance and/or insulin resistance and are discussed in the next section.

1007 1008

1009

1010

10111012

1013

Dietary Patterns and Intermediate Outcomes

Five studies examined adherence to a dietary pattern and intermediate outcomes related to glucose tolerance and/or insulin resistance: two RCTs^{23, 46} and three prospective cohort studies.^{29, 31, 64} It was difficult to assess food components across these studies, as numerous different scores were used and no compelling number of studies used any one score or index. Even so, favorable associations between dietary patterns and intermediate outcomes were found.

The two RCTs were conducted in populations in Europe that were at risk of diabetes. An early report from the PREDIMED trial showed that a Mediterranean diet decreased fasting blood glucose, fasting insulin, and HOMA-IR scores in a Spanish population at risk of CVD.²³ In the Oslo Diet and Exercise Study (ODES), increased adherence to the authors' a priori diet score resulted in decreased fasting insulin and insulin after a glucose challenge, but not fasting glucose, in Norwegian men with metabolic syndrome.⁴⁶ Results from prospective cohort studies were consistent in showing a favorable association between diet score and fasting glucose, fasting insulin or HOMA-IR,^{29,31} with the exception of one study that found the association with fasting glucose only in men.⁶⁴

Data-Driven Approaches

Variations in populations studies, definition of outcomes, dietary assessment methodologies, and methods used to derive patterns resulted in a highly variable set of dietary patterns, thus making it difficult to draw conclusions from studies using data-driven approaches. For example, one study measured fasting blood glucose with a cutoff of 6.1 and greater mmol/L;⁴⁷ another study measured plasma glucose with a cutoff of 5.1 and greater mmol/L,⁸¹ while a third study measured plasma glucose after an overnight fast and after a standard 75 g oral glucose tolerance test.⁸² Three prospective cohort studies assessed the association between dietary patterns and plasma glucose levels. Two U.S. studies derived patterns using cluster analysis^{47,81} and one study conducted in Denmark used factor analysis.⁸² Duffey et al. identified two diet clusters: "Prudent Diet" and "Western Diet";⁴⁷ Kimokoti et al. identified five clusters: "Heart Healthier," "Lighter Eating," "Wine and Moderate Eating," "Higher Fat," and "Empty Calories";⁸¹ and Lau et al. derived two factors: "Modern" and "Traditional."⁸²

For additional details on this body of evidence, visit: References 2, 58, and Appendix E-2.28

DIETARY PATTERNS AND CANCER

Existing Evidence around Foods and Nutrients and Cancer

The role of dietary composition in cancer risk has been postulated since ancient times, yet scientific evidence for such relationships was sparse until nearly a century ago. Experimental models of cancer based upon chemical carcinogens, radiation, viral-transmission, and inherited genetic variations gradually emerged in first half of the 20th century and were soon found to be influenced by dietary and nutritional interventions. The establishment of population-based cancer registries around the globe in the years following World War II clearly indicated that the incidence and mortality of specific cancers and the patterns of cancers varied widely between countries. Soon, studies of migrant populations demonstrated that in parallel with acculturation,

cancer risk evolved toward that observed in the adopted country, implicating a strong role for environmental influences, such as dietary patterns, in cancer risk. When coupled with national food consumption data, relationships between dietary patterns or components and cancer risk were hypothesized. The development of dietary assessment tools, such as FFQs, paved the way for large prospective epidemiologic cohort studies designed to examine more precisely the role of dietary patterns, foods, and specific nutrients in the risk of various cancers. Additional diet assessment tools, such as food diaries, and single and multi-day 24-hr recalls enhanced the ability to undertake population studies and mechanism-based RCTs. These studies were made possible by USDA support of research to advance laboratory methods to define the nutrient content of foods in the U.S. food supply and establish a database that, when coupled with diet assessment tools, provides an estimated intake of energy, macronutrients, vitamins, minerals and other dietary variables. More recently, inclusion into the database of non-nutrient bioactive components primarily found in vegetables and fruits has enhanced the ability to define human intake of bioactive components that may affect health and disease.

In 1982, the American Institute for Cancer Research (AICR), a part of the World Cancer Research Fund (WCRF) global philanthropic network, was established. Together, the mission of WCRF/AICR is to fund research and disseminate evidence-based cancer prevention guidelines to the public. In 1997, the AICR/WCRF published the results of a comprehensive multi-year effort to systematically review the published scientific literature and develop dietary guidelines for cancer prevention. With a rapid expansion of available data in the subsequent years, the process was repeated for the 2007 AICR/WCRF report. This effort has been enhanced in subsequent years by the AICR/WCRF Continuous Update Project (CUP), in which data are reviewed and updated on a continuous, rolling basis for specific cancers, with several reports completed annually. This effort is accomplished through a rigorous systematic review process in which scientific evidence is gathered, reviewed and judged by panels of experts in nutrition and cancer in order to generate nutrition and cancer prevention goals for policy makers, the general population, and individuals seeking to reduce cancer risk. The most recent summary of the systematic review which documents important information about the relationship between specific foods, nutrients and other lifestyle behavior and cancer risk is found in Table D2.2.

 As previously mentioned, the 2015 DGAC chose to determine whether an examination of dietary patterns, could inform the understanding of diet and cancer risk. As this scientific literature is relatively early in its development, we limited our search to the four most common malignancies affecting the American public—lung, breast, colon/rectal, and prostate—which account for the majority of the cancer burden in the United States. Although the published literature on dietary patterns and cancer risk is relatively young, the DGAC felt it was important to examine the evidence and conclusions, consider the implications for development of dietary guidelines, and indicate areas for future research.

Table D2.2. American Institute for Cancer Research / World Cancer Research Fund (AICR/WCRF) Summary of Strong Evidence on Diet, Nutrition, Physical Activity, and

Cancer Prevention, undated 2014

Cancer Prevention, updated 2014																
	Mouth, Pharynx, Larynx (2007)	Nasopharynx (2007)	Esophagus (2007)	Lung (2007)	Stomach (2007)	Pancreas (2007)	Gall bladder (2007)	Liver (2007)	Colorectum (2011)	Breast Premenopause (2010)	Breast (Postmenopause (2010)	Ovary (2014)	Endometrium (2013)	Prostate (2014)	Kidney (2007)	Skin (2007)
Foods containing dietary fiber									$\downarrow\downarrow$							
Aflatoxins	\		\rightarrow		\rightarrow			†								
Non-starchy vegetables ¹					\rightarrow											
Allium vegetables									\							
Garlic	\		\rightarrow	\downarrow	\downarrow											
Fruits ²									$\uparrow \uparrow$							
Red meat									$\uparrow \uparrow$							
Processed meat																
Cantonese-style salted fish																
Diets high in calcium ³									+							
Salt, salted and salty foods					↑											
Glycemic load													↑			
Arsenic in drinking water				$\uparrow \uparrow$												\uparrow
Mate																
Alcoholic drinks ⁴	$\uparrow \uparrow$		$\stackrel{\textstyle \wedge}{\rightarrow}$						\uparrow	$\uparrow \uparrow$	$\uparrow \uparrow$				•	
Coffee					•								\leftarrow		•	
Beta-carotene ⁵				$\uparrow \uparrow$										•		•
Physical activity ⁶									$\downarrow\downarrow$		\		\rightarrow			
Body fatness ⁷			$\uparrow \uparrow$			$\uparrow \uparrow$	↑		$\uparrow \uparrow$	\downarrow	$\uparrow \uparrow$		$\uparrow \uparrow$		$\uparrow \uparrow$	
Adult attained height						↑			$\uparrow \uparrow$	↑	$\uparrow \uparrow$	$\uparrow \uparrow$		↑		
Greater birth weight										↑						
Lactation										$\downarrow\downarrow$	$\downarrow\downarrow$					

1095

1092 1093

¹ Includes evidence on foods containing carotenoids for mouth, pharynx, larynx; foods containing beta-carotene for esophagus; foods containing vitamin C for

Includes evidence on foods containing carotenoids for mouth, pharynx, larynx, and lung; foods containing beta-carotene for esophagus; food containing vitamin

Evidence is from milk and studies using supplements for colorectum.

Convincing increased risk for men and probably increased risk for women for colorectum. Evidence applies to adverse effect for kidney.

⁵ Evidence derived from studies using supplements for lung.

⁶ Convincing increased risk for colon not rectum.

⁷ Probable increased risk for advanced not non-advanced prostate cancer.

AICR/WCRF Evidence Stratification⁸⁷

1096

1097

1098

1099

1100

1101

1102

1103

1104

1105

1106

1107

1108

1109

1110

1111

1112

1113

1114

1115

1116

1117

1118

1119

112011211122

1130

1137

Convincing: The evidence for a convincing grade is strong enough to support a causal relationship. This relationship is robust enough that it is unlikely to be modified of research in the foreseeable future. A grade of "convincing" requires evidence from more than one study type, data from at least two cohort studies, no unexplained heterogeneity between study types with regard to the presence or absence of an association, good quality studies where random or systematic errors are unlikely, presence of a dose-response relationship, and strong and plausible experimental evidence relating typical human exposures to relevant cancer outcomes.

Probable: The criteria for determining a probable diet and cancer relationship include: evidence from at least two cohort studies or at least five case-control studies, no substantial unexplained heterogeneity between or within study types in the presence or absence of an association or direction of effect, good quality studies where the likelihood of random or systematic error is low, and evidence for biologic plausibility.

Limited—suggestive: This grade is assigned when the evidence is too limited to permit a probable or convincing judgment, but there is evidence of a direction of effect. The evidence may have methodological flaws, or there may be a limited number of studies. A grade of "limited-suggestive" requires the following: evidence from at least two cohort studies or five case-control studies, there is some evidence for biologic plausibility, and the direction of the effect is generally consistent, although there may be some unexplained heterogeneity.

Limited—no conclusion: This grade describes diet and cancer relationships where the evidence was ample for review by the panel, but it was too limited to receive one of the other grades. The available studies may be of good quality, but limited in number or yielding inconsistent results.

Substantial effect on risk unlikely: This grade is assigned when the evidence is strong that a particular nutrient, food, dietary pattern, or physical activity is unlikely to have a substantial causal relationship to a cancer outcome. Data must be strong enough that modification in the foreseeable future is unlikely.

Question 4: What is the relationship between dietary patterns and risk of cancer?

Source of evidence: NEL systematic review

Conclusions

- 1123 Colon/Rectal Cancer: Moderate evidence indicates an inverse association between dietary
- patterns that are higher in vegetables, fruits, legumes, whole grains, lean meats/seafood, and low-
- fat dairy and moderate in alcohol; and low in red and/or processed meats, saturated fat, and
- sodas/sweets relative to other dietary patterns and the risk of colon/rectal cancer. Conversely,
- diets that are higher in red/processed meats, French fries/potatoes, and sources of sugars (i.e.,
- sodas, sweets, and dessert foods) are associated with a greater colon/rectal cancer risk. **DGAC**
- 1129 **Grade: Moderate**
- 1131 **Breast Cancer:** Moderate evidence indicates that dietary patterns rich in vegetables, fruit, and
- whole grains, and lower in animal products and refined carbohydrate, are associated with
- reduced risk of post-menopausal breast cancer. The data regarding this dietary pattern and pre-
- menopausal breast cancer risk point in the same direction, but the evidence is limited due to
- 1135 fewer studies. DGAC Grade: Moderate for postmenopausal breast cancer risk; Limited for
- 1136 premenopausal breast cancer risk
- Lung Cancer: Limited evidence from a small number of studies suggests a lower risk of lung
- cancer associated with dietary patterns containing more frequent servings of vegetables, fruits,

seafood, grains/cereals, and legumes, and lean versus higher fat meats and lower fat or non-fat dairy products. Despite reported modest significant reductions in risk, definitive conclusions cannot be established at this time due to the small number of articles, as well as wide variation in study design, dietary assessment, and case ascertainment. **DGAC Grade: Limited**

Prostate Cancer: No conclusion can be drawn regarding the relationship between dietary patterns and the risk of prostate cancer. This is due to limited evidence from a small number of studies with wide variation in study design, dietary assessment methodology and prostate cancer outcome ascertainment. **DGAC Grade: Grade not assignable**

Implications

The data accumulating regarding the impact of dietary patterns on risk of certain types of cancers supports the concept that a healthy dietary pattern may significantly reduce the overall burden of cancer in the United States. Emerging studies on dietary patterns support the findings of expert reviews regarding individual foods and nutrients. Effective strategies to initiate early in life and maintain a healthy dietary pattern and body weight, coupled with regular physical activity, will significantly reduce the cancer burden in America.

Review of the Evidence

Dietary Patterns and Colorectal Cancer

This systematic review included 21 articles from prospective cohort studies and one article from an RCT published since 2000 that examined the relationship between dietary patterns and risk of colorectal cancer. ⁸⁸⁻¹⁰⁹ The articles used diverse methodology to assess dietary patterns. Nine articles used indices/scores to assess dietary patterns, 10 articles used data-driven methods, and three used other approaches.

The dietary patterns examined in this systematic review were defined in various ways, making comparisons between articles difficult. However, despite general heterogeneity in this body of evidence, some protective dietary patterns emerged, particularly in articles where patterns were defined by index or score; articles using data-driven methods were less consistent. Patterns emphasizing vegetables, fruits, fish/seafood, legumes, low-fat dairy, and whole grains were generally associated with reduced risk of colorectal cancer. Patterns higher in red/processed meats, potatoes/French fries, and sodas/sweets/added sugars were generally associated with increased risk of colorectal cancer.

The relationship between dietary patterns and colorectal cancer risk often varied by sex and tumor location. Results based on analysis by sex were mixed, while analysis in tumor subgroups seemed to indicate that dietary patterns may be more strongly associated with tumor development in distal regions of the colon/rectum. Although most cohort studies make extensive

efforts to include participants across a wide range of race/ethnic groups and across the socio-economic continuum, there still may be some groups for which the association between dietary patterns and colorectal cancer risk cannot be reliably assessed and therefore conclusions cannot be drawn.

Dietary Patterns and Breast Cancer

This systematic review included 25 prospective cohort studies and one RCT published since 2000 that examined the relationship between dietary patterns and risk of breast cancer. ^{94, 101, 104, 110-131} The studies used multiple approaches to assess dietary patterns and cancer risk. Eight studies used indices/scores to assess dietary patterns, 13 studies used factor or principal components analysis, two used reduced rank regression, two made comparisons on the basis of animal product consumption, and one conducted an RCT of a low-fat dietary pattern.

This moderate body of evidence encompassed a large diversity in methods to assess or determine dietary patterns, making comparison across studies challenging. Despite this variability, 17 of the included studies found statistically significant relationships between dietary patterns and breast cancer risk, particularly among certain groups of women. Because a variety of different methodologies were employed to derive dietary patterns, and the patterns, while similar in many respects, were composed of different combinations of foods and beverages, it was difficult to determine which patterns had the greatest impact on breast cancer risk reduction.

The relationship between dietary patterns and breast cancer risk may be more consistent among postmenopausal women, but additional research is needed to explore the relationships for both pre- and post- menopausal cancer. Certain histopathologic and molecular phenotypes of breast cancer may be affected more by certain dietary patterns, but this has not yet been explored sufficiently. For example, limited studies to date suggest that estrogen or progesterone receptor status of breast cancers may define subgroups with unique dietary risk profiles, but no conclusions can be drawn at this time. More research is needed to explore other factors that may influence the relationship between dietary patterns during various stages of life and breast cancer risk, such as anthropometrics, BMI (including weight change over adulthood), physical activity, sedentary behavior, and reproductive history, including ages of menarche, age of menopause, parity, and breast feeding.

Dietary Patterns and Lung Cancer

This systematic review included three prospective cohort studies and one nested case-cohort study published since 2000 that examined the relationship between dietary patterns and risk of lung cancer. ^{101, 104, 132, 133} The studies used different methods to assess dietary patterns. Two studies used an index/score to measure adherence to a dietary pattern, one study derived dietary patterns using principal components analysis, and another based dietary patterns on participant reports of animal product intake. With only four relevant studies that used different approaches

1219 for assessing or determining dietary patterns, the evidence available to examine the relationship 1220 between dietary patterns and risk of lung cancer is limited. 1221 1222 Dietary Patterns and Prostate Cancer 1223 This systematic review included seven prospective cohort studies (from six different cohorts) 1224 published since 2000 that examined the relationship between dietary patterns and risk of prostate cancer. 101, 134-139 The studies used different methods to assess dietary patterns. Three studies used 1225 1226 index/scores to assess dietary patterns, two studies used factor analysis, one study used principle 1227 components analysis, and one made comparisons on the basis of animal product consumption. 1228 1229 Most of the seven studies included in this systematic review did not detect clear or consistent 1230 relationships between dietary patterns and risk of prostate cancer, though one found that 1231 adherence to the Dietary Guidelines (assessed using the HEI-2005 and AHEI-2010) was 1232 associated with a lower risk of prostate cancer, particularly among men who had a prostate-1233 specific antigen screening in the past 3 years. Because these studies used a range of different 1234 approaches for assessing dietary patterns in populations with variable cancer screening patterns, 1235 had heterogeneous prostate cancer outcome ascertainment, and were typically limited to dietary 1236 exposure late in life, the results were inconclusive regarding risk for clinically significant 1237 prostate cancer. 1238 1239 For additional details on this body of evidence, visit: http://NEL.gov/topic.cfm?cat=3344 1240 DIETARY PATTERNS AND CONGENITAL ANOMALIES 1241 1242 **Existing Evidence around Foods and Nutrients and Congenital Anomalies** It is well established that adequate folate status is critical for the prevention of neural tube 1243 defects, specifically anencephaly and spina bifida, as well as other birth defects. 140 Folate is 1244 1245 often described by its source, with "folate" referring to naturally occurring folate from food sources, and "folic acid" referring to the synthetic form used in dietary supplements and food 1246 1247 fortification. After mandatory fortification of enriched cereal products with folic acid in 1998, serum folate concentrations in the U.S. population more than doubled, and rates of neural tube 1248 defects decreased by 20 to 30 percent. 141, 142 1249 1250 1251 Despite this decrease, nearly one fifth of females ages 14 to 30 years do not meet the estimated average requirement for folate, the level deemed to be adequate for one half of healthy females 1252 in the age group. 143 The current U.S. Preventive Services Task Force recommends that women 1253 1254 capable of becoming pregnant should take 400 to 800 micrograms of folic acid daily from

fortified food or supplements in addition to a healthy diet rich in food sources of folate and folic

acid to reduce risk of neural tube and other birth defects. 144 Women with a history of a pregnancy

1255

1257 1258 1259	affected by a neural tube defect or who are at high risk of neural tube defects require 4 mg of synthetic folic acid supplements daily under the supervision of a physician. Given the emphasis on a healthy diet, the DGAC was interested in understanding which dietary patterns, if
1260 1261 1262	any, were associated with a decreased risk of congenital anomalies among women of reproductive age.
1263 1264	Question 5: What is the relationship between dietary patterns and risk of congenital anomalies?
1265	Source of evidence: NEL systematic review
1266 1267	Conclusion
1268 1269 1270 1271 1272 1273 1274	Limited evidence suggests that healthy maternal dietary patterns during the preconception period that are higher in vegetables, fruits, and grains, and lower in red and processed meats, and low in sweets were associated with lower risk of developing of neural tube defects, particularly among women who do not take folic acid supplements. Whereas some dietary patterns were associated with lower risk of developing anencephaly, others were associated with lower risk of developing spina bifida.
1275 1276 1277	Evidence is insufficient to determine an association between maternal dietary patterns and congenital heart defects or cleft lip/palate.
1278 1279 1280 1281 1282	All studies were consistent in demonstrating that folic acid supplementation periconceptionally was associated with a decreased risk of having a child with a birth defect (e.g. neural tube defects, congenital heart defects, and cleft lip/palate). DGAC Grade: Neural Tube Defects – Limited; Congenital Heart Defects – Grade not assignable; Cleft Lip/Palate – Grade not assignable
1283 1284	Implications
1285 1286 1287 1288 1289	Women of reproductive age should consume folic acid in the form of a supplement or through fortified foods in the range recommended by the U.S. Preventive Services Task Force (400 to 800 micrograms) in addition to consuming a diet rich in vegetables, fruits, and grains; lower in red and processed meats; and low in sweets.
1290	Review of the Evidence
1291 1292 1293	This series of systematic reviews included five case-control studies (using data from three cohorts) published since 1980 that examined the relationship between maternal dietary patterns and congenital anomalies in infants. ¹⁴⁶⁻¹⁵⁰ Three articles examined neural tube defects, ^{146, 147, 149}

294 1295 1296	two articles examined congenital heart defects, ^{147, 150} and two articles examined orofacial clefts. ^{146, 148}
297 298 299 300 301 302 303 304 305	Although all five case-control studies reported significant associations between dietary patterns and risk of congenital anomalies in women not taking folic acid supplementation, the variability of dietary patterns methodology used and composition of dietary patterns identified made it difficult to draw conclusions. All studies were consistent in finding that folate delivered periconceptionally in food or as a supplement as a key nutrient was associated with lower risk of developing congenital anomalies. It should be noted that some of the included studies were conducted in countries with mandatory folate fortification, while others were from countries that prohibit such fortification.
1306 1307	For additional details on this body of evidence, visit: http://NEL.gov/topic.cfm?cat=3356
1308 1309	DIETARY PATTERNS AND NEUROLOGICAL AND PSYCHOLOGICAL ILLNESSES
310 311	Existing Evidence around Foods and Nutrients and Neurological and Psychological Illnesses
1312 1313 1314 1315	Neuropsychological development and function is increasingly recognized as a high national priority for health promotion and chronic disease prevention. Two major components of neuropsychological function are <i>cognition</i> , the ability to reason, and <i>mood</i> , balanced and appropriate to enable optimal cognition.
1316 1317 1318 1319 1320 1321 1322 1323 1324 1325 1326 1327 1328	Nutrition for optimal neurodevelopment in very young children has long been a subject of research. The 2010 DGAC concluded that moderate evidence supported a positive relationship between maternal dietary intakes of n-3 from seafood and improved cognitive ability in infants. ¹⁵¹ The rising numbers of U.S. older adults and the potential human and financial cost of age-related cognitive impairments, such as Alzheimer's disease and other dementias, also have helped drive national interest in chronic mental disease. ^{152, 153} Separately, depression affected 8 percent of Americans for at least two weeks annually from 2007-2010, and of these, 80 percent report functional impairment. ¹⁵⁴ Many preclinical and human studies have established relationships between traditional nutrients (e.g., omega-3 fatty acids) and central nervous system composition and function. Studies appearing in the last few years reflect the increasing research interest in the links between diet and neurological health.
1329 1330 1331	The hypothesis that nutrition can reduce and/or play a role in the treatment of these mental diseases and their related burdens has been studied in relation to several nutrients and foods, including the B vitamins, vitamin E, and selenium. ^{155, 156} The omega-3 fatty acids

eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA) and docosahexaenoic acid (DHA) are among the most studied nutrients for neural health, in part because DHA is a major component of the brain, specifically gray matter and its synapses, and the specialized light detecting cells of the retina. DHA, in particular, supports the amplitude and signaling speed of neural response. EPA has emerged as a nutrient with antidepressive properties and continued studies to define its role in prevention and therapy are underway. Sufficiently strong medical evidence has been obtained for EPA and DHA such that supplements are now considered as complementary therapy for major depressive disorder by the American Psychiatric Association¹⁵⁷ and more recent data from a meta-analysis has found them effective.¹⁵⁸ Before 2010, the number of published dietary pattern studies was small. However, a more substantial literature on dietary patterns and neuropsychological health has been published since 2010. The DGAC was therefore able to consider prevention of adult neuropsychological ill health for the first time.

Question 6: What is the relationship between dietary patterns and risk of neurological and psychological illnesses?

Source of evidence: NEL systematic review

Conclusion

Limited evidence suggests that a dietary pattern containing an array of vegetables, fruits, nuts, legumes and seafood consumed during adulthood is associated with lower risk of age-related cognitive impairment, dementia, and/or Alzheimer's disease. Although the number of studies available on dietary patterns and neurodegenerative disease risk is expanding, this body of evidence, which is made up of high-quality observational studies, has appeared only in recent years and is rapidly developing. It employs a wide range of methodology in study design, definition and measurement ascertainment of cognitive outcomes, and dietary pattern assessment. **DGAC Grade: Limited**

Limited evidence suggests that dietary patterns emphasizing seafood, vegetables, fruits, nuts, and legumes are associated with lower risk of depression in men and non-perinatal women. However, the body of evidence is primarily composed of observational studies and employs a range of methodology in study design, definition, and measurement of dietary patterns and ascertainment of depression/depressive signs and symptoms. Studies on dietary patterns in other populations, such as women in the post-partum period, children and adolescents, as well as those in various ethnic and cultural groups, are too limited to draw conclusions. **DGAC Grade: Adults – Limited; Children, adolescents, and women in the post-partum period – Grade not assignable**

Implications

- Dietary patterns emphasizing vegetables, fruits, seafood, legumes and nuts similar to those that
- achieve chronic disease risk reduction are consistent with maintaining neurocognitive health,
- including cognitive ability in healthy aging, and balanced mood.

13731374

1375

1369

Review of the Evidence

Dietary Patterns and Cognitive Impairment, Dementia, and Alzheimer's Disease

- 1376 This systematic review includes 30 articles (two articles analyzed data taken from RCTs and 28
- articles used data from prospective cohort studies) published since 1980 (with all but two
- published since 2008) that examined the relationship between dietary patterns and age-related
- cognitive impairment, dementia, and/or Alzheimer's disease. Twenty of the articles
- included in this review assessed the relationship between dietary patterns and cognitive
- impairment, 10 articles examined cognitive impairment or dementia, and eight articles looked at
- 1382 Alzheimer's disease.

1383

- The articles used several different methods to assess dietary patterns. Two articles analyzed data
- from RCTs that tested or described dietary patterns, 23 articles used indices/scores to assess
- dietary patterns quality or adherence, three articles used data-driven methods, and three used
- reduced rank regression. Most (18 of 28) articles found an association between dietary patterns
- and age-related cognitive impairment, dementia, and/or Alzheimer's disease. Despite some
- heterogeneity in this body of evidence, some common elements of dietary patterns were
- associated with measures of cognitive impairment, dementia, and/or Alzheimer's disease:

13911392

1393

- Patterns higher in vegetables, fruits, nuts, legumes, and seafood were generally associated with reduced risk of age-related cognitive impairment, dementia, and/or Alzheimer's
- disease.
 - Patterns higher in red and/or processed meats were generally associated with greater age-
- related cognitive impairment. Relatively few studies reported on refined sugar and added
- salt, and patterns including these nutrients tended to report greater cognitive impairment.
- 1398 Although some studies included participants from a range of race/ethnic and socioeconomic
- groups, the results are most applicable to the general healthy aging population. In addition,
- dietary patterns were derived using dietary intake measured at baseline only, and therefore, may
- not reflect patterns consumed throughout relevant periods of life before enrollment in the study,
- or changes in intake that may have occurred over the duration of the study. Similarly, several
- studies measured cognitive function only at a single time point (follow-up), and therefore, could
- not assess change in cognitive function over time. Finally, though these studies controlled for a
- number of confounders, not all apparently relevant potential confounders were adjusted for (e.g.,
- existing or family history of cognitive decline, dementia, or Alzheimer's disease; baseline health

status; changes in dietary intake over time) and, as with all association studies, residual confounding is possible.

Dietary Patterns and Depression

This systematic review includes nineteen articles (17 from prospective cohort studies, and 2 using data from RCTs) published since 1980 (all of which were published since 2008) that assessed the relationship between dietary patterns and depression. ^{175, 182, 189-205}

The articles used several different methods to assess dietary patterns. Two studies tested the effects of dietary patterns as part of an RCT, six articles used indices/scores to assess dietary patterns, 10 articles used data-driven methods, and one used reduced rank regression. Despite methodological and outcome heterogeneity in this body of evidence, some protective dietary patterns emerged:

- Patterns emphasizing seafood, vegetables, fruits, and nuts, were generally associated with reduced risk of depression.
- Patterns emphasizing red and processed meats and refined sugar were generally associated with increased risk of depression.

This body of evidence did have several limitations. There was considerable variability in how the outcome of depression was assessed, with some studies using various depression scales, some using physician diagnosis/hospital discharge records, and others using proxies such as use of depression medication. Although most studies make extensive efforts to include participants across a wide range of race/ethnic groups and across the socio-economic continuum, there still may be some subgroups for which the association between dietary patterns and depression risk cannot be reliably assessed and therefore conclusions cannot be drawn for them. Research is needed to determine whether dietary patterns are associated with risk of depression in particularly vulnerable subgroups, specifically children, adolescents, young adults, and women during the post-partum period. Additional limitations within this body of evidence make it difficult to draw stronger conclusions, including assessment of dietary patterns and depression outcomes at a single point in time, potential for residual confounding despite adjustment for a number of factors, and few studies conducted in U.S.-based populations.

For additional details on this body of evidence, visit: http://NEL.gov/topic.cfm?cat=3352

DIETARY PATTERNS AND BONE HEALTH

1442

1443

1460

1480

recommendations.

Existing Evidence around Foods and Nutrients and Bone Health

- 1444 Low bone mineral density and osteoporosis are common in the United States, particularly in 1445 older adults, and its contribution to disability and cost to the health care system continues to rise 1446 in parallel to longer life expectancy. As described in Part D. Chapter 1: Food and Nutrient 1447 Intakes, and Health: Current Status and Trends, more than half of women ages 60 to 69 years 1448 have low bone mass and approximately 12 percent meet established criteria for osteoporosis. The 1449 prevalence of osteoporosis increases with age; about one-quarter of women ages 70 to 79 years 1450 and about one-third of women older than age 80 years have osteoporosis. Low bone mass is less 1451 common in older men but is increasingly recognized. Among U.S. men ages 60 to 69 years,
- about a third have low bone mass and this increases to about 40 percent and slightly more than 50 percent for men ages 70 to 79 years and 80 years and older, respectively.

Poor bone health and osteoporotic fractures are a major cause of morbidity and mortality in the elderly and account for significant health care costs. Understanding the extent to which dietary factors can help improve bone health and reduce the incidence of fractures across all segments of the population, particularly in the elderly, is important for the health and well-being of the nation.

1461 The most critical nutrients for healthy bone are calcium, vitamin D, and phosphorous. As part of 1462 their 2011 report on Calcium and Vitamin D, the Institute of Medicine extensively reviewed the available data and updated the Dietary Reference Intakes (DRIs) for calcium and vitamin D for 1463 men and women across life stages. 206 The new reference values were based upon a strong body 1464 1465 of evidence regarding bone growth and maintenance. At the time of the report, these bone health 1466 outcomes (in particular bone mass [bone mineral content]) were the only indicators on which 1467 there was sufficient scientific evidence to define DRIs; a thorough review of other outcomes 1468 (bone mineral density, risk of fractures, and osteoporosis) provided mixed and inconclusive 1469 results, and thus did not inform the DRIs. Part D. Chapter 1: Food and Nutrient Intakes, and 1470 Health: Current Status and Trends of this DGAC report concluded that calcium and vitamin D 1471 were shortfall nutrients of public health concern. The estimated low levels of intake in various 1472 age and sex groups place many at risk for suboptimal bone health. The DGAC asked additional 1473 questions regarding bone health that went beyond those relating to the role of specific and well-1474 known nutrients on bone remodeling. Specifically, the DGAC considered the influence of dietary 1475 patterns and their relationship to bone health and specific bone health outcomes across the 1476 lifespan, including bone density and fractures. This approach enabled the DGAC to consider the 1477 relationship between the total diet and its component foods and nutrients, acting in combination, 1478 on bone health outcomes. This section reviews this evidence and forms the basis for the DGAC 1479 recommendation for action at individual and population level as well as its research

1481	
1482	Question 7: What is the relationship between dietary patterns and bone health?
1483	Source of evidence: NEL systematic review
1484	
1485	Conclusion
1486	Limited evidence suggests that a dietary pattern higher in vegetables, fruits, grains, nuts, and
1487	dairy products, and lower in meats and saturated fat, is associated with more favorable bone
1488	health outcomes in adults, including decreased risk of fracture and osteoporosis, as well as
1489	improved bone mineral density. Although a growing number of studies are examining the
1490	relationship between dietary patterns and bone health in adults, the number of high-quality
1491	studies is modest and those available employ a wide range of methodologies in study design,
1492	dietary assessment techniques, and varying bone health outcomes.
1493	
1494	Definitive conclusions regarding the relationship between dietary patterns and bone health
1495	outcomes (bone mineral density and bone mineral content) in children and adolescents cannot be
1496	drawn due to the limited evidence from a small number of studies with wide variation in study
1497	design, dietary assessment methodology, and bone health outcomes. DGAC Grade: Adults -
1498	Limited; Children and Adolescents - Grade not assignable
1499	
1500	Implications
1501	Only limited evidence is available on the relationships between <i>dietary patterns</i> and bone health
1502	outcomes in adults and other age groups. Although there is strong evidence on the roles of
1503	vitamin D and calcium in bone health across the age spectrum, further research is needed on
1504	dietary patterns that are most beneficial.
1505	
1506	Review of the Evidence
1507	This systematic review included two articles that used data from RCTs and 11 articles from
1508	prospective cohort studies published since 2000 that examined the relationship between dietary
1509	patterns and bone health. 207-219
1510	
1511	The articles employ diverse methodologies to assess dietary patterns. Four articles used an index
1512	or score, six articles used factor analysis/principal components analysis, two articles used
1513	reduced rank regression, and two articles tested dietary patterns in an intervention study where
1514	bone health or fractures were either secondary or tertiary trial outcomes. Seven studies assessed
1515	risk of fracture, six studies assessed bone mineral density, bone mineral content, or bone mass,
1516	and one study examined risk of osteoporosis. The dietary patterns examined in this systematic
1517	review were defined in various ways, making comparisons between articles difficult. However,
1518	despite heterogeneity in this body of evidence, some common characteristics of dietary patterns

1519 associated with better or adverse bone health outcomes emerged, particularly in articles where 1520 patterns were defined by index or score. Articles using data-driven methods were less consistent. 1521 The following overall conclusions can be drawn: 1522 1523 • Patterns emphasizing vegetables, fruits, legumes, nuts, dairy, and 1524 cereals/grains/pasta/rice, and unsaturated fats were generally associated with more 1525 favorable bone health outcomes. 1526 Patterns higher in meats and saturated fats were generally associated with increased risk 1527 of adverse bone health outcomes. 1528 • Results were far less consistent for added sugars, alcohol, and sodium in relation to bone 1529 health. 1530 Although many cohort studies make extensive efforts to include participants across a wide range 1531 of race/ethnic groups and across the socio-economic continuum, there still may be some groups 1532 for which the association between dietary patterns and bone health cannot yet be determined 1533 (i.e., children, adolescents). 1534 1535 For additional details on this body of evidence, visit: http://NEL.gov/topic.cfm?cat=3360 1536 1537 CHAPTER SUMMARY 1538 1539 The dietary patterns approach captures the relationship between the overall diet and its 1540 constituent foods, beverages, and nutrients in relationship to outcomes of interest. Numerous 1541 dietary patterns were identified, with the most common ones defined using indices or scores such 1542 as the HEI-2010, the AHEI-2010, or various Mediterranean-style dietary patterns, the DASH 1543 pattern, vegetarian patterns, and data-driven approaches. 1544 1545 The Committee's examination of the association between dietary patterns and various health 1546 outcomes revealed remarkable consistency in the findings and implications that are noteworthy. 1547 When looking at the dietary pattern conclusion statements across the various health outcomes, 1548 certain characteristics of the diet were consistently identified (see Table D2.3). Common 1549 characteristics of dietary patterns associated with positive health outcomes include higher intake 1550 of vegetables, fruits, whole grains, low- or non-fat dairy, seafood, legumes, and nuts; moderate 1551 intake of alcohol (among adults); lower consumption of red and processed meat, and low intake 1552 of sugar-sweetened foods and drinks, and refined grains. Vegetables and fruits are the only 1553 characteristics of the diet that were consistently identified in every conclusion statement across 1554 the health outcomes. Whole grains were identified slightly less consistently compared to

vegetables and fruits, but were identified in every conclusion with moderate to strong evidence.

For studies with limited evidence, grains were not as consistently defined and/or they were not

1555

identified as a key characteristic. Low- or non-fat dairy, seafood, legumes, nuts, and alcohol were identified as beneficial characteristics of the diet for some, but not all, outcomes. For conclusions with moderate to strong evidence, higher intake of red and processed meats was identified as detrimental compared to lower intake. Higher consumption of sugar-sweetened foods and beverages as well as refined grains were identified as detrimental in almost all conclusion statements with moderate to strong evidence.

Table D2.3. Description of the dietary patterns highlighted in the DGAC's Conclusion Statements that are associated with benefit related to the health outcome of interest. (Note: The reader is directed to the full Conclusion Statement above for more information on the relationship between dietary patterns and the health outcome. In some cases, dietary components were associated with increased health risk and this is noted in the table.)

DGAC Grade ^a	Description of the Dietary Pattern Associated with Beneficial Health Outcomes
Strong	Dietary patterns characterized by higher consumption of <i>vegetables</i> , <i>fruits</i> , <i>whole grains</i> , <i>low-fat dairy</i> , and <i>seafood</i> , and lower consumption of <i>red and processed meat</i> , and lower intakes of <i>refined grains</i> , and <i>sugar-sweetened foods and beverages</i> relative to less healthy patterns; regular consumption of <i>nuts</i> and <i>legumes</i> ; moderate consumption of <i>alcohol</i> ; lower in <i>saturated fat</i> , <i>cholesterol</i> , and <i>sodium</i> and richer in <i>fiber</i> , <i>potassium</i> , and <i>unsaturated fats</i> .
Moderate	Dietary patterns that are higher in <i>vegetables, fruits</i> , and <i>whole grains</i> ; include <i>seafood</i> and <i>legumes</i> ; are moderate in <i>dairy products</i> (particularly low and non-fat dairy) and alcohol; lower in meats (including red and processed meats), and low in sugar-sweetened foods and beverages, and refined grains; higher intakes of unsaturated fats and lower intakes of saturated fats, cholesterol, and sodium.
Limited	Dietary patterns in childhood or adolescence that are higher in energy-dense and low-fiber foods, such as <i>sweets</i> , <i>refined grains</i> , and <i>processed meats</i> , as well as <i>sugar-sweetened beverages</i> , <i>whole milk</i> , <i>fried potatoes</i> , <i>certain fats and oils</i> , and <i>fast foods</i> are associated with an increased risk.
Moderate	Dietary patterns higher in vegetables, fruits, and whole grains and lower in red and processed meats, high-fat dairy products, refined grains, and sweets/sugar-sweetened beverages.
Moderate	Colon/Rectal Cancer: Dietary patterns that are higher in vegetables, fruits, legumes, whole grains, lean meats/seafood, and low-fat dairy and moderate in alcohol; and low in red and/or processed meats, saturated fat, and sodas/sweets. (Conversely, diets that are higher in red/processed meats, French fries/potatoes, and sources of sugars (i.e., sodas, sweets, and dessert foods) are associated with a greater risk.)
Moderate (post) / Limited (pre)	Breast Cancer: Dietary patterns rich in vegetables, fruit, and whole grains, and lower in animal products and refined carbohydrate.
Limited	Lung Cancer: Dietary patterns containing more frequent servings of vegetables, fruits, seafood, grains/cereals, and legumes, and lean versus higher fat meats and lower fat or non-fat dairy products.
Not assignable	Prostate Cancer: N/A
Limited – Neural tube defects	Neural tube defects: Dietary patterns during the preconception period that are higher in <i>vegetables</i> , <i>fruits</i> , and <i>grains</i> , and lower in <i>red and processed meats</i> , and low in <i>sweets</i> .
Not assignable	Congenital heart defects or cleft lip/palate: N/A
Limited	Age-related cognitive impairment, dementia, and/or Alzheimer's disease: Dietary patterns containing an array of vegetables, fruits, nuts, legumes and seafood.
Limited	Depression: Dietary patterns emphasizing seafood, vegetables, fruits, nuts, and legumes.
Limited Not assignable	Adults: Dietary patterns higher in <i>vegetables, fruits, grains, nuts,</i> and <i>dairy products</i> , and lower in <i>meats</i> and <i>saturated fat</i> . Children: N/A
	Moderate Limited Moderate Moderate Moderate Moderate (post) / Limited (pre) Limited Not assignable Limited – Neural tube defects Not assignable Limited Limited

^a The DGAC Grade presented represents the grade the Committee provided for the conclusion statement with the dietary pattern components described. Some health outcomes had more than one graded conclusion. Only the conclusion statements that describe dietary pattern components are presented here. Post = Post-menopausal; Pre = Pre-menopausal

1571 As alcohol is a unique aspect of the diet, the DGAC considered evidence from several sources to 1572 inform recommendations. As noted above, moderate alcohol intake among adults was identified 1573 as a component of a healthy dietary pattern associated with some health outcomes, which 1574 reaffirms conclusions related to moderate alcohol consumption by the 2010 DGAC. The Committee also concurs with the conclusions reached by the 2010 DGAC on the relationship 1575 between alcohol intake and unintentional injury and lactation. However, as noted in Table D2.1, 1576 evidence also suggests that alcoholic drinks are associated with increased risk for certain cancers, 1577 1578 including pre- and post-menopausal breast cancer. After consideration of this collective 1579 evidence, the Committee concurs with the 2010 DGAC that if alcohol is consumed, it should be 1580 consumed in moderation, and only by adults. However, it is not recommended that anyone begin 1581 drinking or drink more frequently on the basis of potential health benefits because moderate alcohol intake also is associated with increased risk of violence, drowning, and injuries from falls 1582 1583 and motor vehicle crashes. Women should be aware of a moderately increased risk of breast 1584 cancer even with moderate alcohol intake. There are many circumstances in which people should 1585 not drink alcohol:

- Individuals who cannot restrict their drinking to moderate levels.
- Anyone younger than the legal drinking age.
 - Women who are pregnant or who may be pregnant.
- Individuals taking prescription or over-the-counter medications that can interact with alcohol.
 - Individuals with certain specific medical conditions (e.g., liver disease, hypertriglyceridemia, pancreatitis).
 - Individuals who plan to drive, operate machinery, or take part in other activities that require attention, skill, or coordination or in situations where impaired judgment could cause injury or death (e.g., swimming).

Finally, because of the substantial evidence clearly demonstrating the health benefits of breastfeeding, occasionally consuming an alcoholic drink does not warrant stopping breastfeeding. However, women who are breastfeeding should be very cautious about drinking alcohol, if they choose to drink at all. §§

The common characteristics of a healthy dietary pattern found in the conclusion statements across the outcomes examined implies that following a dietary pattern associated with reduced risk of CVD, overweight, and obesity will have positive health benefits beyond these categories

1586

1587

1588

1589

1590

1591

1592

1593

1594

1595

1596

1597

1598

1599 1600 1601

1602

^{§§} If the infant's breastfeeding behavior is well established, consistent, and predictable (no earlier than at 3 months of age), a mother may consume a single alcoholic drink if she then waits at least 4 hours before breastfeeding. Alternatively, she may express breast milk before consuming the drink and feed the expressed milk to her infant later.

of health outcomes. Thus, the U.S. population should be encouraged and guided to consume dietary patterns that are rich in vegetables, fruits, whole grains, seafood, legumes, and nuts; moderate in low- and non-fat dairy products and alcohol (among adults); lower in red and processed meat; and low in sugar-sweetened foods and beverages and refined grains. These dietary patterns can be achieved in many ways and should be tailored to the individual's biological and medical needs as well as socio-cultural preferences. As described in the DGAC's conceptual model, a multi-level process at individual and population levels is required to help achieve a healthy diet and other lifestyle behaviors so as to achieve chronic disease risk reduction and overall well-being. The Committee recommends the development and implementation of programs and services that facilitate the improvement in eating behaviors consistent with healthy dietary patterns in various settings, including preventive services in our healthcare and public health systems as well as those that reach populations in other settings of influence such as preschool and school settings and workplaces.

The dietary pattern characteristics being recommended by the 2015 DGAC reaffirms the dietary pattern characteristics recommended by the 2010 DGAC, despite the fact that different approaches were employed. Additionally, this dietary pattern aligns with recommendations from other groups, including AICR and AHA/ACC. The majority of evidence considered focuses on dietary patterns consumed in adulthood on health risks, primarily risks of chronic disease development and, in the case of pregnancy, birth defects. Very little evidence considered here was directed to dietary patterns in children, and risk reduction studies evaluating children's diets and risk of overweight and obesity provided limited evidence. No conclusions on chronic disease apply directly to evidence developed in children. Recommendations based on adult studies have implications for children based on general nutritional principles but caution is warranted, considering the fact that children with developing bodies and neurocognitive capabilities present unique nutritional issues.

NEEDS FOR FUTURE RESEARCH

1. Conduct additional dietary patterns research for other health outcomes to strengthen the evidence beyond CVD and body weight in populations of various ethnic backgrounds and life course stages in order for future DGACs to draw stronger conclusions.

Rationale: The NEL systematic reviews demonstrated that considerable CVD research related to dietary patterns is available. However, it also is important to note, that unlike CVD, some of the other health outcomes are more heterogeneous and thus may require greater specificity in the examination of diet and disease risk. There is a clear need for all studies examining the relationship between dietary patterns and health outcomes to include the full age spectrum and to take a life course perspective (including pregnancy); insufficient research is being devoted to children and how diseases may evolve over time. An increased

emphasis should be placed on understanding how the diets of all those in the U.S. population from various ethnic backgrounds may be associated with health outcomes, thereby broadening knowledge beyond Hispanics and African Americans to include the diversity that exists in the United States today. This may require our national nutrition monitoring programs to over-sample individuals from other national origins to conduct subgroup analysis.

2. Improve the understanding of how to more precisely characterize dietary patterns by their food constituents and the implications of the food constituents on nutrient adequacy through the use of Food Pattern Modeling. More precise characterization, particularly of protein foods, is needed.

 Rationale: Researchers are characterizing dietary patterns very differently and yet sometimes use similar nomenclatures. This makes it difficult to compare results across studies and as demonstrated in the NEL systematic reviews, can impair the grading of the body of evidence as strong. The reason why researchers are not replicating others findings in different populations may be a function of publication bias. It is important for editors of scientific journals and peer reviewers to appreciate the replication of findings first and then value a research group's methodological nuance that may improve the examination of the association between dietary patterns and health outcomes. Perhaps what should be stressed is a harmonization of research methods across various cohorts or randomized trials, similar to what is being done at the National Cancer Institute's Dietary Patterns Methods Project^{9, 220} led by Drs. Krebs-Smith and Reedy. The use of Food Pattern Modeling as demonstrated in *Part D. Chapter 1: Food and Nutrient Intakes, and Health: Current Status and Trends* allows questions about the adequacy of the dietary patterns given specific food constituents to be addressed and how modifications of the patterns by altering the foods for specific population groups or to meet specific nutrient targets can be achieved.

3. Examine the long-term cardio-metabolic effects of the various dietary patterns identified in the *AHA/ACC/TOS Guidelines for the Management of Overweight and Obesity in Adults* that are capable of resulting in short-term weight loss (see Question 2, above).

Rationale: Although the research to date demonstrates that to lose weight, a variety of dietary pattern approaches can be used if a reduction in caloric intake is achieved, the long-term effects of these diets on cardio-metabolic health are not well known. Emerging research is exploring health effects of variations of the low-carbohydrate, higher protein/fat dietary pattern. In some approaches (such as Atkins), the dietary pattern which emphasizes animal products, may achieve a macronutrient composition that is higher in saturated fat. Others may emphasize plant-based proteins and fats and may achieve a lower saturated fat content and may be higher in polyunsaturated fats and dietary fiber. Research is needed to determine

1684 the impact of these alternative approaches, and perhaps others, on CVD risk profiles as well 1685 as other health outcomes. As mentioned in the review of the literature associated with 1686 saturated fat and cardiovascular disease in Part D. Chapter 6: Cross-Cutting Topics of 1687 Public Health Importance, substituting one macronutrient for another may result in 1688 unintended consequences. Careful consideration to the types of foods that are used in these 1689 diets and in particular the type of fat and amount of added sugars should be taken into

1691 1692

1693

1690

REFERENCES

account.

- 1694 Dietary Guidelines Advisory Committee. Report of the Dietary Guidelines Advisory 1. 1695 Committee on the Dietary Guidelines for Americans, 2010, to the Secretary of Agriculture and the Secretary of Health and Human Services: U.S. Department of 1696 1697 Agriculture, Agricultural Research Service, Washington D.C.; 2010. Available from: 1698 http://www.cnpp.usda.gov/DGAs2010-DGACReport.htm.
- 1699 2. Nutrition Evidence Library. A series of systematic reviews on the relationship between dietary patterns and health outcomes. Alexandria, VA: U.S. Department of Agriculture, 1700 1701 Center for Nutrition Policy and Promotion; 2014. Available from: 1702 http://www.nel.gov/vault/2440/web/files/DietaryPatterns/DPRptFullFinal.pdf.
- 1703 3. Guenther PM, Casavale KO, Reedy J, Kirkpatrick SI, Hiza HA, Kuczynski KJ, et al. Update of the Healthy Eating Index: HEI-2010. J Acad Nutr Diet. 2013;113(4):569-80. 1704 1705 PMID: 23415502. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23415502.
- 1706 4. Chiuve SE, Fung TT, Rimm EB, Hu FB, McCullough ML, Wang M, et al. Alternative dietary indices both strongly predict risk of chronic disease. J Nutr. 2012;142(6):1009-18. 1707 1708 PMID: 22513989. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22513989.
- Kant AK, Schatzkin A, Graubard BI, Schairer C. A prospective study of diet quality and 1709 5. 1710 mortality in women. JAMA. 2000;283(16):2109-15. PMID: 10791502. 1711 http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10791502.
- 1712 Fung TT, Chiuve SE, McCullough ML, Rexrode KM, Logroscino G, Hu FB. Adherence 6. to a DASH-style diet and risk of coronary heart disease and stroke in women. Arch Intern 1713 1714 Med. 2008;168(7):713-20. PMID: 18413553. 1715 http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18413553.
- Trichopoulou A, Costacou T, Bamia C, Trichopoulos D. Adherence to a Mediterranean 1716 7. 1717 diet and survival in a Greek population. N Engl J Med. 2003;348(26):2599-608. PMID: 12826634. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12826634. 1718
- 1719 8. Fung TT, Rexrode KM, Mantzoros CS, Manson JE, Willett WC, Hu FB. Mediterranean 1720 diet and incidence of and mortality from coronary heart disease and stroke in women. 1721 Circulation. 2009;119(8):1093-100. PMID: 19221219. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19221219. 1722
- 1723 Reedy J, Krebs-Smith SM, Miller PE, Liese AD, Kahle LL, Park Y, et al. Higher diet 9. 1724 quality is associated with decreased risk of all-cause, cardiovascular disease, and cancer 1725 mortality among older adults. J Nutr. 2014;144(6):881-9. PMID: 24572039.
- 1726 http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24572039.

- 1727 10. National Heart Lung and Blood Institute. Lifestyle Interventions to Reduce
- 1728 Cardiovascular Risk: Systematic Evidence Review from the Lifestyle Work Group.
- Bethesda, MD: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, National Institutes of
- Health; 2013. Available from: http://www.nhlbi.nih.gov/health-pro/guidelines/in-
- develop/cardiovascular-risk-reduction/lifestyle/index.htm.
- 1732 11. Eckel RH, Jakicic JM, Ard JD, de Jesus JM, Houston Miller N, Hubbard VS, et al. 2013
- 1733 AHA/ACC guideline on lifestyle management to reduce cardiovascular risk: a report of
- the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice
- 1735 Guidelines. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2014;63(25 Pt B):2960-84. PMID: 24239922.
- http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24239922.
- 1737 12. National Heart Lung and Blood Institute. Managing overweight and obesity in adults:
- 1738 Systematic evidence review from the Obesity Expert Panel, 2013. Bethesda, MD: U.S.
- Department of Health and Human Services, National Institutes of Health; 2013.
- Available from: http://www.nhlbi.nih.gov/guidelines/obesity/ser/index.htm.
- 1741 13. Jensen MD, Ryan DH, Apovian CM, Ard JD, Comuzzie AG, Donato KA, et al. 2013
- 1742 AHA/ACC/TOS guideline for the management of overweight and obesity in adults: a
- 1743 report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on
- 1744 Practice Guidelines and The Obesity Society. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2014;63(25 Pt B):2985-
- 1745 3023. PMID: 24239920. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24239920.
- 1746 14. Huang T, Yang B, Zheng J, Li G, Wahlqvist ML, Li D. Cardiovascular disease mortality
- and cancer incidence in vegetarians: a meta-analysis and systematic review. Ann Nutr
- 1748 Metab. 2012;60(4):233-40. PMID: 22677895.
- http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22677895.
- 1750 15. Martinez-Gonzalez MA, Bes-Rastrollo M. Dietary patterns, Mediterranean diet, and
- cardiovascular disease. Curr Opin Lipidol. 2014;25(1):20-6. PMID: 24370845.
- http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24370845.
- 1753 16. Rees K, Hartley L, Flowers N, Clarke A, Hooper L, Thorogood M, et al. 'Mediterranean'
- dietary pattern for the primary prevention of cardiovascular disease. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2013;8:CD009825. PMID: 23939686.
- http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23939686.
- 1757 17. Salehi-Abargouei A, Maghsoudi Z, Shirani F, Azadbakht L. Effects of Dietary
- 1758 Approaches to Stop Hypertension (DASH)-style diet on fatal or nonfatal cardiovascular
- diseases--incidence: a systematic review and meta-analysis on observational prospective
- 1760 studies. Nutrition. 2013;29(4):611-8. PMID: 23466047.
- http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23466047.
- 1762 18. Sofi F, Macchi C, Abbate R, Gensini GF, Casini A. Mediterranean diet and health status:
- an updated meta-analysis and a proposal for a literature-based adherence score. Public
- 1764 Health Nutr. 2013:1-14. PMID: 24476641.
- http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24476641.
- 1766 19. Yokoyama Y, Nishimura K, Barnard ND, Takegami M, Watanabe M, Sekikawa A, et al.
- 1767 Vegetarian diets and blood pressure: a meta-analysis. JAMA Intern Med.
- 1768 2014;174(4):577-87. PMID: 24566947. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24566947.
- 1769 20. Dauchet L, Kesse-Guyot E, Czernichow S, Bertrais S, Estaquio C, Péneau S, et al.
- Dietary patterns and blood pressure change over 5-y follow-up in the SU.VI.MAX
- 1771 cohort. Am J Clin Nutr. 2007;85(6):1650-6. PMID: 17556705.
- http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17556705.

- 1773 21. Camões M, Oliveira A, Pereira M, Severo M, Lopes C. Role of physical activity and diet 1774 in incidence of hypertension: a population-based study in Portuguese adults. Eur J Clin 1775 Nutr. 2010;64(12):1441-9. PMID: 20808327. 1776 http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20808327.
- Folsom AR, Parker ED, Harnack LJ. Degree of concordance with DASH diet guidelines and incidence of hypertension and fatal cardiovascular disease. Am J Hypertens. 2007;20(3):225-32. PMID: 17324731. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17324731.
- Estruch R, Martínez-González MA, Corella D, Salas-Salvadó J, Ruiz-Gutiérrez V, Covas
 MI, et al. Effects of a Mediterranean-style diet on cardiovascular risk factors: a
 randomized trial. Ann Intern Med. 2006;145(1):1-11. PMID: 16818923.
 http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16818923.
- Jula A, Marniemi J, Huupponen R, Virtanen A, Rastas M, Rönnemaa T. Effects of diet
 and simvastatin on serum lipids, insulin, and antioxidants in hypercholesterolemic men: a
 randomized controlled trial. JAMA. 2002;287(5):598-605. PMID: 11829698.
 http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11829698.
- Núñez-Córdoba JM, Valencia-Serrano F, Toledo E, Alonso A, Martínez-González MA.
 The Mediterranean diet and incidence of hypertension: the Seguimiento Universidad de
 Navarra (SUN) Study. Am J Epidemiol. 2009;169(3):339-46. PMID: 19037007.
 http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19037007.
- 1792 26. Burr ML, Butland BK. Heart disease in British vegetarians. Am J Clin Nutr. 1988;48(3 Suppl):830-2. PMID: 3414590. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/3414590.
- 1794 27. Margetts BM, Beilin LJ, Armstrong BK, Vandongen R. A randomized control trial of a
 1795 vegetarian diet in the treatment of mild hypertension. Clin Exp Pharmacol Physiol.
 1796 1985;12(3):263-6. PMID: 3896594. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/3896594.
- Crowe FL, Appleby PN, Travis RC, Key TJ. Risk of hospitalization or death from ischemic heart disease among British vegetarians and nonvegetarians: results from the EPIC-Oxford cohort study. Am J Clin Nutr. 2013;97(3):597-603. PMID: 23364007. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23364007.
- Zamora D, Gordon-Larsen P, He K, Jacobs DR, Shikany JM, Popkin BM. Are the 2005 Dietary Guidelines for Americans Associated With reduced risk of type 2 diabetes and cardiometabolic risk factors? Twenty-year findings from the CARDIA study. Diabetes Care. 2011;34(5):1183-5. PMID: 21478463. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21478463.
- van de Laar RJ, Stehouwer CD, van Bussel BC, Prins MH, Twisk JW, Ferreira I.

 Adherence to a Mediterranean dietary pattern in early life is associated with lower arterial stiffness in adulthood: the Amsterdam Growth and Health Longitudinal Study. J Intern

 Med. 2013;273(1):79-93. PMID: 22809371.

 http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22809371.
- Rumawas ME, Meigs JB, Dwyer JT, McKeown NM, Jacques PF. Mediterranean-style dietary pattern, reduced risk of metabolic syndrome traits, and incidence in the Framingham Offspring Cohort. Am J Clin Nutr. 2009;90(6):1608-14. PMID: 19828705. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19828705.
- Tortosa A, Bes-Rastrollo M, Sanchez-Villegas A, Basterra-Gortari FJ, Nuñez-Cordoba JM, Martinez-Gonzalez MA. Mediterranean diet inversely associated with the incidence of metabolic syndrome: the SUN prospective cohort. Diabetes Care. 2007;30(11):2957-9. PMID: 17712023. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17712023.

- 1819 33. Estruch R, Ros E, Martínez-González MA. Mediterranean diet for primary prevention of cardiovascular disease. N Engl J Med. 2013;369(7):676-7. PMID: 23944307. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23944307.
- 1822 34. Ambrosini GL. Childhood dietary patterns and later obesity: a review of the evidence.
 1823 Proc Nutr Soc. 2014;73(1):137-46. PMID: 24280165.
 1824 http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24280165.
- 1825 35. Esposito K, Kastorini CM, Panagiotakos DB, Giugliano D. Mediterranean diet and weight loss: meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Metab Syndr Relat Disord. 2011;9(1):1-12. PMID: 20973675. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20973675.
- 1828 36. Beunza JJ, Toledo E, Hu FB, Bes-Rastrollo M, Serrano-Martínez M, Sánchez-Villegas A, et al. Adherence to the Mediterranean diet, long-term weight change, and incident overweight or obesity: the Seguimiento Universidad de Navarra (SUN) cohort. Am J Clin Nutr. 2010;92(6):1484-93. PMID: 20962161.

 http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20962161.
- Mendez MA, Popkin BM, Jakszyn P, Berenguer A, Tormo MJ, Sanchéz MJ, et al.
 Adherence to a Mediterranean diet is associated with reduced 3-year incidence of obesity.
 J Nutr. 2006;136(11):2934-8. PMID: 17056825.
 http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17056825.
- 1837 38. Romaguera D, Norat T, Vergnaud AC, Mouw T, May AM, Agudo A, et al.
 1838 Mediterranean dietary patterns and prospective weight change in participants of the
 1839 EPIC-PANACEA project. Am J Clin Nutr. 2010;92(4):912-21. PMID: 20810975.
 1840 http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20810975.
- Berz JP, Singer MR, Guo X, Daniels SR, Moore LL. Use of a DASH food group score to predict excess weight gain in adolescent girls in the National Growth and Health Study.

 Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med. 2011;165(6):540-6. PMID: 21646587.

 http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21646587.
- Cheng G, Gerlach S, Libuda L, Kranz S, Günther AL, Karaolis-Danckert N, et al. Diet quality in childhood is prospectively associated with the timing of puberty but not with body composition at puberty onset. J Nutr. 2010;140(1):95-102. PMID: 19923386. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19923386.
- Gao SK, Beresford SA, Frank LL, Schreiner PJ, Burke GL, Fitzpatrick AL.
 Modifications to the Healthy Eating Index and its ability to predict obesity: the Multi Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis. Am J Clin Nutr. 2008;88(1):64-9. PMID: 18614725.
 http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18614725.
- Kesse-Guyot E, Castetbon K, Estaquio C, Czernichow S, Galan P, Hercberg S.
 Association between the French nutritional guideline-based score and 6-year
 anthropometric changes in a French middle-aged adult cohort. Am J Epidemiol.
 2009;170(6):757-65. PMID: 19656810. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19656810.
- Zamora D, Gordon-Larsen P, Jacobs DR, Popkin BM. Diet quality and weight gain among black and white young adults: the Coronary Artery Risk Development in Young Adults (CARDIA) Study (1985-2005). Am J Clin Nutr. 2010;92(4):784-93. PMID: 20685947. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20685947.
- Lassale C, Fezeu L, Andreeva VA, Hercberg S, Kengne AP, Czernichow S, et al.
 Association between dietary scores and 13-year weight change and obesity risk in a
 French prospective cohort. Int J Obes (Lond). 2012;36(11):1455-62. PMID: 22249228.
 http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ijo.2011.264.

- Woo J, Cheung B, Ho S, Sham A, Lam TH. Influence of dietary pattern on the development of overweight in a Chinese population. Eur J Clin Nutr. 2008;62(4):480-7. PMID: 17327865. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17327865.
- Jacobs DR, Sluik D, Rokling-Andersen MH, Anderssen SA, Drevon CA. Association of 1-y changes in diet pattern with cardiovascular disease risk factors and adipokines: results from the 1-y randomized Oslo Diet and Exercise Study. Am J Clin Nutr. 2009;89(2):509-17. PMID: 19116328. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19116328.
- Duffey KJ, Steffen LM, Van Horn L, Jacobs DR, Popkin BM. Dietary patterns matter:
 diet beverages and cardiometabolic risks in the longitudinal Coronary Artery Risk
 Development in Young Adults (CARDIA) Study. Am J Clin Nutr. 2012;95(4):909-15.
 PMID: 22378729. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22378729.
- Hosseini-Esfahani F, Djazaieri SA, Mirmiran P, Mehrabi Y, Azizi F. Which food patterns are predictors of obesity in Tehranian adults? J Nutr Educ Behav. 2012;44(6):564-73.

 PMID: 21652267. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21652267.
- McNaughton SA, Mishra GD, Stephen AM, Wadsworth ME. Dietary patterns throughout adult life are associated with body mass index, waist circumference, blood pressure, and red cell folate. J Nutr. 2007;137(1):99-105. PMID: 17182808.

 http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17182808.
- Newby PK, Muller D, Hallfrisch J, Qiao N, Andres R, Tucker KL. Dietary patterns and changes in body mass index and waist circumference in adults. Am J Clin Nutr. 2003;77(6):1417-25. PMID: 12791618. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12791618.
- Newby PK, Muller D, Hallfrisch J, Andres R, Tucker KL. Food patterns measured by factor analysis and anthropometric changes in adults. Am J Clin Nutr. 2004;80(2):504-13. PMID: 15277177. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15277177.
- Togo P, Osler M, Sørensen TI, Heitmann BL. A longitudinal study of food intake
 patterns and obesity in adult Danish men and women. Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord.
 2004;28(4):583-93. PMID: 14770197. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14770197.
- Boggs DA, Palmer JR, Spiegelman D, Stampfer MJ, Adams-Campbell LL, Rosenberg L.
 Dietary patterns and 14-y weight gain in African American women. Am J Clin Nutr.
 2011;94(1):86-94. PMID: 21593501. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21593501.
- Newby PK, Weismayer C, Akesson A, Tucker KL, Wolk A. Longitudinal changes in food patterns predict changes in weight and body mass index and the effects are greatest in obese women. J Nutr. 2006;136(10):2580-7. PMID: 16988130. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16988130.
- 1899 55. Quatromoni PA, Copenhafer DL, D'Agostino RB, Millen BE. Dietary patterns predict the development of overweight in women: The Framingham Nutrition Studies. J Am Diet Assoc. 2002;102(9):1239-46. PMID: 12792620. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12792620.
- 1903 56. Ritchie LD, Spector P, Stevens MJ, Schmidt MM, Schreiber GB, Striegel-Moore RH, et al. Dietary patterns in adolescence are related to adiposity in young adulthood in black and white females. J Nutr. 2007;137(2):399-406. PMID: 17237318. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17237318.
- 1907 57. Schulze MB, Fung TT, Manson JE, Willett WC, Hu FB. Dietary patterns and changes in body weight in women. Obesity (Silver Spring). 2006;14(8):1444-53. PMID: 16988088. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16988088.

- 1910 58. Alhazmi A, Stojanovski E, McEvoy M, Garg ML. The association between dietary patterns and type 2 diabetes: a systematic review and meta-analysis of cohort studies. J Hum Nutr Diet. 2014;27(3):251-60. PMID: 24102939. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24102939.
- Liese AD, Nichols M, Sun X, D'Agostino RB, Haffner SM. Adherence to the DASH Diet is inversely associated with incidence of type 2 diabetes: the insulin resistance atherosclerosis study. Diabetes Care. 2009;32(8):1434-6. PMID: 19487638.
 http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19487638.
- 1918 60. Martínez-González MA, de la Fuente-Arrillaga C, Nunez-Cordoba JM, Basterra-Gortari 1919 FJ, Beunza JJ, Vazquez Z, et al. Adherence to Mediterranean diet and risk of developing 1920 diabetes: prospective cohort study. BMJ. 2008;336(7657):1348-51. PMID: 18511765. 1921 http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18511765.
- 1922 61. Rossi M, Turati F, Lagiou P, Trichopoulos D, Augustin LS, La Vecchia C, et al.
 1923 Mediterranean diet and glycaemic load in relation to incidence of type 2 diabetes: results
 1924 from the Greek cohort of the population-based European Prospective Investigation into
 1925 Cancer and Nutrition (EPIC). Diabetologia. 2013;56(11):2405-13. PMID: 23975324.
 1926 http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23975324.
- Abiemo EE, Alonso A, Nettleton JA, Steffen LM, Bertoni AG, Jain A, et al.
 Relationships of the Mediterranean dietary pattern with insulin resistance and diabetes
 incidence in the Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis (MESA). Br J Nutr.
 2013;109(8):1490-7. PMID: 22932232. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22932232.
- 1931 63. Fung TT, McCullough M, van Dam RM, Hu FB. A prospective study of overall diet 1932 quality and risk of type 2 diabetes in women. Diabetes Care. 2007;30(7):1753-7. PMID: 17429059. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17429059.
- 1934 64. Gopinath B, Rochtchina E, Flood VM, Mitchell P. Diet quality is prospectively
 1935 associated with incident impaired fasting glucose in older adults. Diabet Med.
 1936 2013;30(5):557-62. PMID: 23301551. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23301551.
- von Ruesten A, Illner AK, Buijsse B, Heidemann C, Boeing H. Adherence to recommendations of the German food pyramid and risk of chronic diseases: results from the EPIC-Potsdam study. Eur J Clin Nutr. 2010;64(11):1251-9. PMID: 20717136. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20717136.
- Malik VS, Fung TT, van Dam RM, Rimm EB, Rosner B, Hu FB. Dietary patterns during adolescence and risk of type 2 diabetes in middle-aged women. Diabetes Care. 2012;35(1):12-8. PMID: 22074723. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22074723.
- Hodge AM, English DR, O'Dea K, Giles GG. Dietary patterns and diabetes incidence in the Melbourne Collaborative Cohort Study. Am J Epidemiol. 2007;165(6):603-10.
 PMID: 17220476. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17220476.
- Nanri A, Shimazu T, Takachi R, Ishihara J, Mizoue T, Noda M, et al. Dietary patterns and type 2 diabetes in Japanese men and women: the Japan Public Health Center-based Prospective Study. Eur J Clin Nutr. 2013;67(1):18-24. PMID: 23093343. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23093343.
- Nettleton JA, Steffen LM, Ni H, Liu K, Jacobs DR. Dietary patterns and risk of incident type 2 diabetes in the Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis (MESA). Diabetes Care. 2008;31(9):1777-82. PMID: 18544792. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18544792.

- 1954 70. Liese AD, Weis KE, Schulz M, Tooze JA. Food intake patterns associated with incident 1955 type 2 diabetes: the Insulin Resistance Atherosclerosis Study. Diabetes Care. 1956 2009;32(2):263-8. PMID: 19033409. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19033409.
- 1957 71. McNaughton SA, Mishra GD, Brunner EJ. Dietary patterns, insulin resistance, and 1958 incidence of type 2 diabetes in the Whitehall II Study. Diabetes Care. 2008;31(7):1343-8. 1959 PMID: 18390803. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18390803.
- 1960 72. Imamura F, Lichtenstein AH, Dallal GE, Meigs JB, Jacques PF. Generalizability of 1961 dietary patterns associated with incidence of type 2 diabetes mellitus. Am J Clin Nutr. 2009;90(4):1075-83. PMID: 19710193. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19710193. 1962
- 1963 73. Adamsson V, Reumark A, Fredriksson IB, Hammarström E, Vessby B, Johansson G, et 1964 al. Effects of a healthy Nordic diet on cardiovascular risk factors in 1965 hypercholesterolaemic subjects: a randomized controlled trial (NORDIET). J Intern Med. 1966 2011;269(2):150-9. PMID: 20964740. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20964740.
- 1967 74. Blumenthal JA, Babyak MA, Sherwood A, Craighead L, Lin PH, Johnson J, et al. Effects of the dietary approaches to stop hypertension diet alone and in combination with 1968 1969 exercise and caloric restriction on insulin sensitivity and lipids. Hypertension. 1970 2010;55(5):1199-205. PMID: 20212264. 1971 http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20212264.
- 1972 75. Esposito K, Marfella R, Ciotola M, Di Palo C, Giugliano F, Giugliano G, et al. Effect of a
- 1973 mediterranean-style diet on endothelial dysfunction and markers of vascular 1974 inflammation in the metabolic syndrome: a randomized trial. JAMA. 2004;292(12):1440-1975 6. PMID: 15383514. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15383514.
- 1976 76. Gadgil MD, Appel LJ, Yeung E, Anderson CA, Sacks FM, Miller ER. The effects of carbohydrate, unsaturated fat, and protein intake on measures of insulin sensitivity: 1977 1978 results from the OmniHeart trial. Diabetes Care. 2013;36(5):1132-7. PMID: 23223345. 1979 http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23223345.
- 1980 Rallidis LS, Lekakis J, Kolomvotsou A, Zampelas A, Vamvakou G, Efstathiou S, et al. 77. 1981 Close adherence to a Mediterranean diet improves endothelial function in subjects with 1982 abdominal obesity. Am J Clin Nutr. 2009;90(2):263-8. PMID: 19515732. 1983 http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19515732.
- 1984 Salas-Salvadó J, Fernández-Ballart J, Ros E, Martínez-González MA, Fitó M, Estruch R, 78. 1985 et al. Effect of a Mediterranean diet supplemented with nuts on metabolic syndrome 1986 status: one-year results of the PREDIMED randomized trial. Arch Intern Med. 1987 2008;168(22):2449-58. PMID: 19064829. 1988 http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19064829.
- Salas-Salvadó J, Bulló M, Babio N, Martínez-González M, Ibarrola-Jurado N, Basora J. 1989 79. 1990 et al. Reduction in the incidence of type 2 diabetes with the Mediterranean diet: results of 1991 the PREDIMED-Reus nutrition intervention randomized trial. Diabetes Care. 1992 2011;34(1):14-9. PMID: 20929998. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20929998.
- 1993 Tonstad S, Stewart K, Oda K, Batech M, Herring RP, Fraser GE. Vegetarian diets and 80. 1994 incidence of diabetes in the Adventist Health Study-2. Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis. 1995 2013;23(4):292-9. PMID: 21983060. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21983060.
- 1996 Kimokoti RW, Gona P, Zhu L, Newby PK, Millen BE, Brown LS, et al. Dietary patterns 81. 1997 of women are associated with incident abdominal obesity but not metabolic syndrome. J 1998 Nutr. 2012;142(9):1720-7. PMID: 22833658. 1999 http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22833658.

- 2000 82. Lau C, Toft U, Tetens I, Carstensen B, Jørgensen T, Pedersen O, et al. Dietary patterns 2001 predict changes in two-hour post-oral glucose tolerance test plasma glucose 2002 concentrations in middle-aged adults. J Nutr. 2009;139(3):588-93. PMID: 19158222. 2003 http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19158222.
- 2004 83. Willett W. Nutritional Epidemiology. New York: Oxford University Press; 1988.
- World Cancer Research Fund/American Institute for Cancer Research. Food, Nutrition, and the Prevention of Cancer: a Global Perspective. Washington D.C.: AICR; 1997.
- 2007 85. World Cancer Research Fund/American Institute for Cancer Research. Food, Nutrition,
 2008 Physical Activity, and the Prevention of Cancer: a Global Perspective. Washington D.C.:
 2009 AICR; 2007.
- 2010 86. World Cancer Research Fund/American Institute for Cancer Research. Continuous
 2011 Update Project (CUP) 2014 [cited 2014 November 6]. Available from:
 2012 http://www.dietandcancerreport.org/cup/.
- World Cancer Research Fund/American Institute for Cancer Research. Chapter 3:
 Judging the Evidence. Food Nutrition, Physical Activity, and the Prevention of Cancer: a
 Global Perspective. Washington D.C.: AICR; 2007. p. 48-62.
- 2016 88. Agnoli C, Grioni S, Sieri S, Palli D, Masala G, Sacerdote C, et al. Italian Mediterranean 2017 Index and risk of colorectal cancer in the Italian section of the EPIC cohort. Int J Cancer. 2018 2013;132(6):1404-11. PMID: 22821300. 2019 http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22821300.
- 2020 89. Bamia C, Lagiou P, Buckland G, Grioni S, Agnoli C, Taylor AJ, et al. Mediterranean diet and colorectal cancer risk: results from a European cohort. Eur J Epidemiol. 2022 2013;28(4):317-28. PMID: 23579425. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23579425.
- 2023 90. Beresford SA, Johnson KC, Ritenbaugh C, Lasser NL, Snetselaar LG, Black HR, et al.
 2024 Low-fat dietary pattern and risk of colorectal cancer: the Women's Health Initiative
 2025 Randomized Controlled Dietary Modification Trial. JAMA. 2006;295(6):643-54. PMID:
 2026 16467233. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16467233.
- 2027 91. Butler LM, Wang R, Koh WP, Yu MC. Prospective study of dietary patterns and colorectal cancer among Singapore Chinese. Br J Cancer. 2008;99(9):1511-6. PMID: 18813309. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18813309.
- 2030 92. Dixon LB, Balder HF, Virtanen MJ, Rashidkhani B, Mannisto S, Krogh V, et al. Dietary patterns associated with colon and rectal cancer: results from the Dietary Patterns and Cancer (DIETSCAN) Project. Am J Clin Nutr. 2004;80(4):1003-11. PMID: 15447912. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15447912.
- 2034 93. Doubeni CA, Major JM, Laiyemo AO, Schootman M, Zauber AG, Hollenbeck AR, et al. Contribution of behavioral risk factors and obesity to socioeconomic differences in colorectal cancer incidence. J Natl Cancer Inst. 2012;104(18):1353-62. PMID: 22952311. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22952311.
- 2038 94. Engeset D, Dyachenko A, Ciampi A, Lund E. Dietary patterns and risk of cancer of various sites in the Norwegian European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition cohort: the Norwegian Women and Cancer study. Eur J Cancer Prev.
 2040 2009;18(1):69-75. PMID: 19077568. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19077568.
- 2042 95. Flood A, Rastogi T, Wirfalt E, Mitrou PN, Reedy J, Subar AF, et al. Dietary patterns as identified by factor analysis and colorectal cancer among middle-aged Americans. Am J Clin Nutr. 2008;88(1):176-84. PMID: 18614739. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18614739.

- 2046 96. Fung T, Hu FB, Fuchs C, Giovannucci E, Hunter DJ, Stampfer MJ, et al. Major dietary patterns and the risk of colorectal cancer in women. Arch Intern Med. 2003;163(3):309-14. PMID: 12578511. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12578511.
- Fung TT, Hu FB, Wu K, Chiuve SE, Fuchs CS, Giovannucci E. The Mediterranean and Dietary Approaches to Stop Hypertension (DASH) diets and colorectal cancer. Am J Clin Nutr. 2010;92(6):1429-35. PMID: 21097651.
 http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21097651.
- Fung TT, Hu FB, Schulze M, Pollak M, Wu T, Fuchs CS, et al. A dietary pattern that is associated with C-peptide and risk of colorectal cancer in women. Cancer Causes
 Control. 2012;23(6):959-65. PMID: 22535146.
 http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22535146.
- Jarvandi S, Davidson NO, Schootman M. Increased risk of colorectal cancer in type 2 diabetes is independent of diet quality. PLoS One. 2013;8(9):e74616. PMID: 24069323. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24069323.
- 100. Kesse E, Clavel-Chapelon F, Boutron-Ruault MC. Dietary patterns and risk of colorectal tumors: a cohort of French women of the National Education System (E3N). Am J
 2062 Epidemiol. 2006;164(11):1085-93. PMID: 16990408.
 http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16990408.
- 2064 101. Key TJ, Appleby PN, Spencer EA, Travis RC, Roddam AW, Allen NE. Cancer incidence
 2065 in vegetarians: results from the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and
 2066 Nutrition (EPIC-Oxford). Am J Clin Nutr. 2009;89(5):1620S-6S. PMID: 19279082.
 2067 http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19279082.
- 2068 102. Kim MK, Sasaki S, Otani T, Tsugane S, Japan Public Health Center-based Prospective
 2069 Study G. Dietary patterns and subsequent colorectal cancer risk by subsite: a prospective
 2070 cohort study. Int J Cancer. 2005;115(5):790-8. PMID: 15704172.
 2071 http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15704172.
- 2072 103. Kyro C, Skeie G, Loft S, Overvad K, Christensen J, Tjonneland A, et al. Adherence to a healthy Nordic food index is associated with a lower incidence of colorectal cancer in women: the Diet, Cancer and Health cohort study. Br J Nutr. 2013;109(5):920-7. PMID: 22874538. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22874538.
- 2076 104. Mai V, Kant AK, Flood A, Lacey JV, Jr., Schairer C, Schatzkin A. Diet quality and subsequent cancer incidence and mortality in a prospective cohort of women. Int J Epidemiol. 2005;34(1):54-60. PMID: 15649959.

 2079 http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15649959.
- 2080 105. Miller PE, Cross AJ, Subar AF, Krebs-Smith SM, Park Y, Powell-Wiley T, et al.
 2081 Comparison of 4 established DASH diet indexes: examining associations of index scores
 2082 and colorectal cancer. Am J Clin Nutr. 2013;98(3):794-803. PMID: 23864539.
 2083 http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23864539.
- 2084 106. Reedy J, Mitrou PN, Krebs-Smith SM, Wirfalt E, Flood A, Kipnis V, et al. Index-based dietary patterns and risk of colorectal cancer: the NIH-AARP Diet and Health Study. Am J Epidemiol. 2008;168(1):38-48. PMID: 18525082. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18525082.
- 2088 107. Wirfalt E, Midthune D, Reedy J, Mitrou P, Flood A, Subar AF, et al. Associations between food patterns defined by cluster analysis and colorectal cancer incidence in the NIH-AARP diet and health study. Eur J Clin Nutr. 2009;63(6):707-17. PMID: 18685556. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18685556.

- 2092 108. Wu K, Hu FB, Fuchs C, Rimm EB, Willett WC, Giovannucci E. Dietary patterns and risk of colon cancer and adenoma in a cohort of men (United States). Cancer Causes Control. 2094 2004;15(9):853-62. PMID: 15577287. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15577287.
- 2095 109. Terry P, Hu FB, Hansen H, Wolk A. Prospective study of major dietary patterns and colorectal cancer risk in women. Am J Epidemiol. 2001;154(12):1143-9. PMID: 11744520. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11744520.
- 2098 110. Adebamowo CA, Hu FB, Cho E, Spiegelman D, Holmes MD, Willett WC. Dietary patterns and the risk of breast cancer. Ann Epidemiol. 2005;15(10):789-95. PMID: 16257363. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16257363.
- 2101 111. Agurs-Collins T, Rosenberg L, Makambi K, Palmer JR, Adams-Campbell L. Dietary patterns and breast cancer risk in women participating in the Black Women's Health Study. Am J Clin Nutr. 2009;90(3):621-8. PMID: 19587089. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19587089.
- 2105 112. Baglietto L, Krishnan K, Severi G, Hodge A, Brinkman M, English DR, et al. Dietary 2106 patterns and risk of breast cancer. Br J Cancer. 2011;104(3):524-31. PMID: 21157446. 2107 http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21157446.
- 2108 113. Buckland G, Travier N, Cottet V, Gonzalez CA, Lujan-Barroso L, Agudo A, et al.
 2109 Adherence to the mediterranean diet and risk of breast cancer in the European
 2110 prospective investigation into cancer and nutrition cohort study. Int J Cancer.
 2111 2013;132(12):2918-27. PMID: 23180513.
- 2112 http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23180513.
- 2113 114. Butler LM, Wu AH, Wang R, Koh WP, Yuan JM, Yu MC. A vegetable-fruit-soy dietary pattern protects against breast cancer among postmenopausal Singapore Chinese women.
 2115 Am J Clin Nutr. 2010;91(4):1013-9. PMID: 20181808.
 2116 http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20181808.
- 2117 115. Cade JE, Taylor EF, Burley VJ, Greenwood DC. Common dietary patterns and risk of 2118 breast cancer: analysis from the United Kingdom Women's Cohort Study. Nutr Cancer. 2119 2010;62(3):300-6. PMID: 20358467. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20358467.
- 2120 116. Cade JE, Taylor EF, Burley VJ, Greenwood DC. Does the Mediterranean dietary pattern
 2121 or the Healthy Diet Index influence the risk of breast cancer in a large British cohort of
 2122 women? Eur J Clin Nutr. 2011;65(8):920-8. PMID: 21587285.
 2123 http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21587285.
- 2124 117. Cottet V, Touvier M, Fournier A, Touillaud MS, Lafay L, Clavel-Chapelon F, et al.
 2125 Postmenopausal breast cancer risk and dietary patterns in the E3N-EPIC prospective cohort study. Am J Epidemiol. 2009;170(10):1257-67. PMID: 19828509.
 2127 http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19828509.
- 2128 118. Couto E, Sandin S, Lof M, Ursin G, Adami HO, Weiderpass E. Mediterranean dietary pattern and risk of breast cancer. PLoS One. 2013;8(2):e55374. PMID: 23390532. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23390532.
- 2131 119. Fung TT, Hu FB, Holmes MD, Rosner BA, Hunter DJ, Colditz GA, et al. Dietary
 2132 patterns and the risk of postmenopausal breast cancer. Int J Cancer. 2005;116(1):116-21.
 2133 PMID: 15756679. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15756679.
- 2134 120. Fung TT, Hu FB, McCullough ML, Newby PK, Willett WC, Holmes MD. Diet quality is associated with the risk of estrogen receptor-negative breast cancer in postmenopausal women. J Nutr. 2006;136(2):466-72. PMID: 16424129.
- 2137 http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16424129.

- 2138 121. Fung TT, Hu FB, Hankinson SE, Willett WC, Holmes MD. Low-carbohydrate diets,
- dietary approaches to stop hypertension-style diets, and the risk of postmenopausal breast cancer. Am J Epidemiol. 2011;174(6):652-60. PMID: 21832271.
- http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21832271.
- 2142 122. Fung TT, Schulze MB, Hu FB, Hankinson SE, Holmes MD. A dietary pattern derived to correlate with estrogens and risk of postmenopausal breast cancer. Breast Cancer Res Treat. 2012;132(3):1157-62. PMID: 22218885.
- 2145 http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22218885.
- Link LB, Canchola AJ, Bernstein L, Clarke CA, Stram DO, Ursin G, et al. Dietary
 patterns and breast cancer risk in the California Teachers Study cohort. Am J Clin Nutr.
 2013;98(6):1524-32. PMID: 24108781. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24108781.
- 2149 124. Mannisto S, Dixon LB, Balder HF, Virtanen MJ, Krogh V, Khani BR, et al. Dietary patterns and breast cancer risk: results from three cohort studies in the DIETSCAN project. Cancer Causes Control. 2005;16(6):725-33. PMID: 16049811. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16049811.
- 2153 125. Prentice RL, Caan B, Chlebowski RT, Patterson R, Kuller LH, Ockene JK, et al. Low-fat
 2154 dietary pattern and risk of invasive breast cancer: the Women's Health Initiative
 2155 Randomized Controlled Dietary Modification Trial. JAMA. 2006;295(6):629-42. PMID:
 2156 16467232. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16467232.
- 2157 126. Sant M, Allemani C, Sieri S, Krogh V, Menard S, Tagliabue E, et al. Salad vegetables 2158 dietary pattern protects against HER-2-positive breast cancer: a prospective Italian study. 2159 Int J Cancer. 2007;121(4):911-4. PMID: 17455245. 2160 http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17455245.
- Schulz M, Hoffmann K, Weikert C, Nothlings U, Schulze MB, Boeing H. Identification of a dietary pattern characterized by high-fat food choices associated with increased risk of breast cancer: the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition (EPIC)-Potsdam Study. Br J Nutr. 2008;100(5):942-6. PMID: 18377685.
 http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18377685.
- 2166 128. Sieri S, Krogh V, Pala V, Muti P, Micheli A, Evangelista A, et al. Dietary patterns and
 2167 risk of breast cancer in the ORDET cohort. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev.
 2168 2004;13(4):567-72. PMID: 15066921. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15066921.
- 2169 129. Terry P, Suzuki R, Hu FB, Wolk A. A prospective study of major dietary patterns and the risk of breast cancer. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev. 2001;10(12):1281-5. PMID: 11751446. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11751446.
- Trichopoulou A, Bamia C, Lagiou P, Trichopoulos D. Conformity to traditional
 Mediterranean diet and breast cancer risk in the Greek EPIC (European Prospective
 Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition) cohort. Am J Clin Nutr. 2010;92(3):620-5.
 PMID: 20631204. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20631204.
- Velie EM, Schairer C, Flood A, He JP, Khattree R, Schatzkin A. Empirically derived dietary patterns and risk of postmenopausal breast cancer in a large prospective cohort study. Am J Clin Nutr. 2005;82(6):1308-19. PMID: 16332665. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16332665.
- 2180 132. Balder HF, Goldbohm RA, van den Brandt PA. Dietary patterns associated with male lung cancer risk in the Netherlands Cohort Study. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev. 2182 2005;14(2):483-90. PMID: 15734976. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15734976.

- 2183 133. Gnagnarella P, Maisonneuve P, Bellomi M, Rampinelli C, Bertolotti R, Spaggiari L, et al.
- 2184 Red meat, Mediterranean diet and lung cancer risk among heavy smokers in the COSMOS screening study. Ann Oncol. 2013;24(10):2606-11. PMID: 23956193.
- 2186 http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23956193.
- 2187 134. Ax E, Garmo H, Grundmark B, Bill-Axelson A, Holmberg L, Becker W, et al. Dietary patterns and prostate cancer risk: report from the population based ULSAM cohort study of swedish men. Nutr Cancer. 2014;66(1):77-87. PMID: 24325263.
- 2190 http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24325263.
- 2191 135. Bosire C, Stampfer MJ, Subar AF, Park Y, Kirkpatrick SI, Chiuve SE, et al. Index-based dietary patterns and the risk of prostate cancer in the NIH-AARP diet and health study.
 2193 Am J Epidemiol. 2013;177(6):504-13. PMID: 23408548.
- 2194 http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23408548.
- 2195 136. Kenfield SA, DuPre N, Richman EL, Stampfer MJ, Chan JM, Giovannucci EL.
- Mediterranean diet and prostate cancer risk and mortality in the health professionals follow-up study. Eur Urol. 2014;65(5):887-94. PMID: 23962747.
- 2198 http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23962747.
- Muller DC, Severi G, Baglietto L, Krishnan K, English DR, Hopper JL, et al. Dietary
 patterns and prostate cancer risk. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev. 2009;18(11):3126PMID: 19861522. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19861522.
- Tseng M, Breslow RA, DeVellis RF, Ziegler RG. Dietary patterns and prostate cancer risk in the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey Epidemiological Follow-up Study cohort. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev. 2004;13(1):71-7. PMID: 14744736.
 http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14744736.
- 2206 139. Wu K, Hu FB, Willett WC, Giovannucci E. Dietary patterns and risk of prostate cancer in
 2207 U.S. men. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev. 2006;15(1):167-71. PMID: 16434606.
 2208 http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16434606.
- Wolff T, Witkop CT, Miller T, Syed SB. Folic Acid Supplementation for the Prevention of Neural Tube Defects: An Update of the Evidence for the U.S. Preventive Services
 Task Force. Evidence Synthesis No. 70. AHRQ Publication No. 09-051132-EF-1.
 Rockville, Maryland: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality.2009 May.
- 2213 141. Pitkin RM. Folate and neural tube defects. Am J Clin Nutr. 2007;85(1):285S-8S. PMID:
 2214 17209211. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17209211.
- Yang Q, Cogswell ME, Hamner HC, Carriquiry A, Bailey LB, Pfeiffer CM, et al. Folic acid source, usual intake, and folate and vitamin B-12 status in US adults: National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) 2003-2006. Am J Clin Nutr.
 2218 2010;91(1):64-72. PMID: 19828716. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19828716.
- 2219 143. Bailey RL, Dodd KW, Gahche JJ, Dwyer JT, McDowell MA, Yetley EA, et al. Total folate and folic acid intake from foods and dietary supplements in the United States: 2003-2006. Am J Clin Nutr. 2010;91(1):231-7. PMID: 19923379. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19923379.
- 144. U. S. Preventive Services Task Force. Folic Acid to Prevent Neural Tube Defects:
 Preventive Medication. Recommendation Summary May 2009 [cited 2014 November].
- 2225 Available from: http://www.uspreventiveservicestaskforce.org/uspstf/uspsnrfol.htm.
- 2226 145. Food and Nutrition Board Institute of Medicine. Dietary Reference Intakes: The
- Essential Guide to Nutrient Requirements. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press; 2006.

2229 146. Carmichael SL, Yang W, Feldkamp ML, Munger RG, Siega-Riz AM, Botto LD, et al. Reduced risks of neural tube defects and orofacial clefts with higher diet quality. Arch

2231 Pediatr Adolesc Med. 2012;166(2):121-6. PMID: 21969361.

- http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21969361.
- 147. Sotres-Alvarez D, Siega-Riz AM, Herring AH, Carmichael SL, Feldkamp ML, Hobbs
 CA, et al. Maternal dietary patterns are associated with risk of neural tube and congenital
 heart defects. Am J Epidemiol. 2013;177(11):1279-88. PMID: 23639938.
- http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23639938.
- Yujkovic M, Ocke MC, van der Spek PJ, Yazdanpanah N, Steegers EA, Steegers Theunissen RP. Maternal Western dietary patterns and the risk of developing a cleft lip
 with or without a cleft palate. Obstet Gynecol. 2007;110(2 Pt 1):378-84. PMID:
 17666614. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17666614.
- Vujkovic M, Steegers EA, Looman CW, Ocke MC, van der Spek PJ, Steegers Theunissen RP. The maternal Mediterranean dietary pattern is associated with a reduced
 risk of spina bifida in the offspring. BJOG. 2009;116(3):408-15. PMID: 19187373.
 http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19187373.
- 2245 150. Obermann-Borst SA, Vujkovic M, de Vries JH, Wildhagen MF, Looman CW, de Jonge R, et al. A maternal dietary pattern characterised by fish and seafood in association with the risk of congenital heart defects in the offspring. BJOG. 2011;118(10):1205-15.

 2248 PMID: 21585642. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21585642.
- 2249 151. Nutrition Evidence Library; U.S. Department of Agriculture. What are the effects of maternal dietary intake of omega-3 fatty acids on breast milk composition and infant health outcomes? [cited 2014 November]. Available from: http://nel.gov/evidence.cfm?evidence_summary_id=250144.
- 152. Hebert LE, Weuve J, Scherr PA, Evans DA. Alzheimer disease in the United States
 (2010-2050) estimated using the 2010 census. Neurology. 2013;80(19):1778-83. PMID:
 23390181. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23390181.
- Dorsey ER, George BP, Leff B, Willis AW. The coming crisis: obtaining care for the growing burden of neurodegenerative conditions. Neurology. 2013;80(21):1989-96.
 PMID: 23616157. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23616157.
- 154. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. QuickStats: Prevalence of Current
 Depression* Among Persons Aged ≥12 Years, by Age Group and Sex United States,
 National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey, 2007–2010. Morbidity and Mortality
 Weekly Report (MMWR) [Internet]. 2012; 60(51):[1747 p.]. Available from:
 http://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/preview/mmwrhtml/mm6051a7.htm?s cid=mm6051a7 w.
- 2264 155. Barnes JL, Tian M, Edens NK, Morris MC. Consideration of nutrient levels in studies of cognitive decline. Nutr Rev. 2014;72(11):707-19. PMID: 25323849. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25323849.
- 2267 156. Rita Cardoso B, Silva Bandeira V, Jacob-Filho W, Franciscato Cozzolino SM. Selenium status in elderly: Relation to cognitive decline. J Trace Elem Med Biol. 2014. PMID: 25220532. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25220532.
- 2270 157. Gelenberg AJ, Freeman MP, Markowitz JC, Rosenbaum JF, Thase ME, Trivedi MH, et
 2271 al. Practice Guideline for the Treatment of Patients With Major Depressive Disorder,
 2272 Third Edition. page 51 and page 92. 2010. Available from:
- 2273 http://psychiatryonline.org/pb/assets/raw/sitewide/practice_guidelines/guidelines/mdd.pdf 2274 .

- 2275 158. Grosso G, Pajak A, Marventano S, Castellano S, Galvano F, Bucolo C, et al. Role of omega-3 fatty acids in the treatment of depressive disorders: a comprehensive meta-analysis of randomized clinical trials. PLoS One. 2014;9(5):e96905. PMID: 24805797. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24805797.
- 2279 159. Cherbuin N, Anstey KJ. The Mediterranean diet is not related to cognitive change in a large prospective investigation: the PATH Through Life study. Am J Geriatr Psychiatry. 2281 2012;20(7):635-9. PMID: 21937919. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21937919.
- 2282 160. Eskelinen MH, Ngandu T, Tuomilehto J, Soininen H, Kivipelto M. Midlife healthy-diet
 2283 index and late-life dementia and Alzheimer's disease. Dement Geriatr Cogn Dis Extra.
 2284 2011;1(1):103-12. PMID: 22163237. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22163237.
- 2285 161. Féart C, Samieri C, Rondeau V, Amieva H, Portet F, Dartigues JF, et al. Adherence to a 2286 Mediterranean diet, cognitive decline, and risk of dementia. JAMA. 2009;302(6):638-48. 2287 PMID: 19671905. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19671905.
- 2288 162. Gardener SL, Rainey-Smith SR, Barnes MB, Sohrabi HR, Weinborn M, Lim YY, et al.
 2289 Dietary patterns and cognitive decline in an Australian study of ageing. Mol Psychiatry.
 2290 2014. PMID: 25070537. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25070537.
- 2291 163. Gu Y, Luchsinger JA, Stern Y, Scarmeas N. Mediterranean diet, inflammatory and
 2292 metabolic biomarkers, and risk of Alzheimer's disease. J Alzheimers Dis.
 2293 2010;22(2):483-92. PMID: 20847399. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20847399.
- 2294 164. Gu Y, Nieves JW, Stern Y, Luchsinger JA, Scarmeas N. Food combination and Alzheimer disease risk: a protective diet. Arch Neurol. 2010;67(6):699-706. PMID: 20385883. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20385883.
- 165. Kesse-Guyot E, Amieva H, Castetbon K, Henegar A, Ferry M, Jeandel C, et al.
 2298 Adherence to nutritional recommendations and subsequent cognitive performance:
 2299 findings from the prospective Supplementation with Antioxidant Vitamins and Minerals
 2300 2 (SU.VI.MAX 2) study. Am J Clin Nutr. 2011;93(1):200-10. PMID: 21106918.
 2301 http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21106918.
- 2302 166. Kesse-Guyot E, Andreeva VA, Jeandel C, Ferry M, Hercberg S, Galan P. A healthy dietary pattern at midlife is associated with subsequent cognitive performance. J Nutr. 2304 2012;142(5):909-15. PMID: 22457391. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22457391.
- 2305 167. Kesse-Guyot E, Andreeva VA, Lassale C, Ferry M, Jeandel C, Hercberg S, et al.
 2306 Mediterranean diet and cognitive function: a French study. Am J Clin Nutr.
 2307 2013;97(2):369-76. PMID: 23283500. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23283500.
- 2308 168. Kesse-Guyot E, Andreeva VA, Ducros V, Jeandel C, Julia C, Hercberg S, et al.
 2309 Carotenoid-rich dietary patterns during midlife and subsequent cognitive function. Br J
 2310 Nutr. 2014;111(5):915-23. PMID: 24073964.
 2311 http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24073964.
- 2312 169. Koyama A, Houston DK, Simonsick EM, Lee JS, Ayonayon HN, Shahar DR, et al.
 2313 Association Between the Mediterranean Diet and Cognitive Decline in a Biracial
 2314 Population. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci. 2014. PMID: 24994847.
 2315 http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24994847.
- 2316 170. Martínez-Lapiscina EH, Clavero P, Toledo E, Estruch R, Salas-Salvadó J, San Julián B, et al. Mediterranean diet improves cognition: the PREDIMED-NAVARRA randomised trial. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry. 2013;84(12):1318-25. PMID: 23670794.
- http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23670794.

- 2320 171. Nicolas A, Faisant C, Nourhashemi F, Lanzmann-Petithory D, Vellas B. Associations 2321 between nutritional intake and cognitive function in a healthy ageing sample: A 4-year 2322 reassessment. European Journal of Geriatrics. 2000;2(3):114-9.
- 2323 172. Olsson E, Karlström B, Kilander L, Byberg L, Cederholm T, Sjögren P. Dietary Patterns
 2324 and Cognitive Dysfunction in a 12-Year Follow-up Study of 70 Year Old Men. J
 2325 Alzheimers Dis. 2014. PMID: 25062901.
 2326 http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25062901.
- 2327 173. Ozawa M, Ninomiya T, Ohara T, Doi Y, Uchida K, Shirota T, et al. Dietary patterns and risk of dementia in an elderly Japanese population: the Hisayama Study. Am J Clin Nutr. 2329 2013;97(5):1076-82. PMID: 23553168. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23553168.
- 2330 174. Parrott MD, Shatenstein B, Ferland G, Payette H, Morais JA, Belleville S, et al.
 2331 Relationship between diet quality and cognition depends on socioeconomic position in
 2332 healthy older adults. J Nutr. 2013;143(11):1767-73. PMID: 23986363.
 2333 http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23986363.
- 2334 175. Psaltopoulou T, Kyrozis A, Stathopoulos P, Trichopoulos D, Vassilopoulos D,
 2335 Trichopoulou A. Diet, physical activity and cognitive impairment among elders: the
 2336 EPIC-Greece cohort (European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition).
 2337 Public Health Nutr. 2008;11(10):1054-62. PMID: 18205988.
 2338 http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18205988.
- 2339 176. Roberts RO, Geda YE, Cerhan JR, Knopman DS, Cha RH, Christianson TJ, et al.
 2340 Vegetables, unsaturated fats, moderate alcohol intake, and mild cognitive impairment.
 2341 Dement Geriatr Cogn Disord. 2010;29(5):413-23. PMID: 20502015.
 2342 http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20502015.
- 2343 177. Samieri C, Grodstein F, Rosner BA, Kang JH, Cook NR, Manson JE, et al.
 2344 Mediterranean diet and cognitive function in older age. Epidemiology. 2013;24(4):490-9.
 2345 PMID: 23676264. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23676264.
- 2346 178. Samieri C, Okereke OI, E Devore E, Grodstein F. Long-term adherence to the
 2347 Mediterranean diet is associated with overall cognitive status, but not cognitive decline,
 2348 in women. J Nutr. 2013;143(4):493-9. PMID: 23365105.
 2349 http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23365105.
- 2350 179. Samieri C, Sun Q, Townsend MK, Chiuve SE, Okereke OI, Willett WC, et al. The association between dietary patterns at midlife and health in aging: an observational study. Ann Intern Med. 2013;159(9):584-91. PMID: 24189593. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24189593.
- 2354 180. Scarmeas N, Stern Y, Mayeux R, Manly JJ, Schupf N, Luchsinger JA. Mediterranean diet and mild cognitive impairment. Arch Neurol. 2009;66(2):216-25. PMID: 19204158. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19204158.
- 2357 181. Scarmeas N, Stern Y, Tang MX, Mayeux R, Luchsinger JA. Mediterranean diet and risk 2358 for Alzheimer's disease. Ann Neurol. 2006;59(6):912-21. PMID: 16622828. 2359 http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16622828.
- 2360 182. Shatenstein B, Ferland G, Belleville S, Gray-Donald K, Kergoat MJ, Morais J, et al. Diet quality and cognition among older adults from the NuAge study. Exp Gerontol. 2012;47(5):353-60. PMID: 22386581. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22386581.
- 2363 183. Smith PJ, Blumenthal JA, Babyak MA, Craighead L, Welsh-Bohmer KA, Browndyke JN, et al. Effects of the dietary approaches to stop hypertension diet, exercise, and caloric restriction on neurocognition in overweight adults with high blood pressure.

- 2366 Hypertension. 2010;55(6):1331-8. PMID: 20305128. 2367 http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20305128.
- Tangney CC, Kwasny MJ, Li H, Wilson RS, Evans DA, Morris MC. Adherence to a
 Mediterranean-type dietary pattern and cognitive decline in a community population. Am
 J Clin Nutr. 2011;93(3):601-7. PMID: 21177796.
 http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21177796.
- 2372 185. Titova OE, Ax E, Brooks SJ, Sjögren P, Cederholm T, Kilander L, et al. Mediterranean diet habits in older individuals: associations with cognitive functioning and brain volumes. Exp Gerontol. 2013;48(12):1443-8. PMID: 24126083. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24126083.
- Tsivgoulis G, Judd S, Letter AJ, Alexandrov AV, Howard G, Nahab F, et al. Adherence to a Mediterranean diet and risk of incident cognitive impairment. Neurology.
 2378 2013;80(18):1684-92. PMID: 23628929.
 http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23628929.
- 2380 187. Wengreen H, Munger RG, Cutler A, Quach A, Bowles A, Corcoran C, et al. Prospective study of Dietary Approaches to Stop Hypertension- and Mediterranean-style dietary patterns and age-related cognitive change: the Cache County Study on Memory, Health and Aging. Am J Clin Nutr. 2013;98(5):1263-71. PMID: 24047922. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24047922.
- Wengreen HJ, Neilson C, Munger R, Corcoran C. Diet quality is associated with better cognitive test performance among aging men and women. J Nutr. 2009;139(10):1944-9. PMID: 19675102. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19675102.
- 2388 189. Akbaraly TN, Brunner EJ, Ferrie JE, Marmot MG, Kivimaki M, Singh-Manoux A.
 2389 Dietary pattern and depressive symptoms in middle age. Br J Psychiatry.
 2390 2009;195(5):408-13. PMID: 19880930. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19880930.
- 2391 190. Akbaraly TN, Sabia S, Shipley MJ, Batty GD, Kivimaki M. Adherence to healthy dietary guidelines and future depressive symptoms: evidence for sex differentials in the Whitehall II study. Am J Clin Nutr. 2013;97(2):419-27. PMID: 23283506.
 2394 http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23283506.
- Chan R, Chan D, Woo J. A Prospective Cohort Study to Examine the Association
 between Dietary Patterns and Depressive Symptoms in Older Chinese People in Hong
 Kong. PLoS One. 2014;9(8):e105760. PMID: 25148515.
 http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25148515.
- 2399 192. Chatzi L, Melaki V, Sarri K, Apostolaki I, Roumeliotaki T, Georgiou V, et al. Dietary 2400 patterns during pregnancy and the risk of postpartum depression: the mother-child 'Rhea' 2401 cohort in Crete, Greece. Public Health Nutr. 2011;14(9):1663-70. PMID: 21477412. 2402 http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21477412.
- 2403 193. Chocano-Bedoya PO, O'Reilly EJ, Lucas M, Mirzaei F, Okereke OI, Fung TT, et al.
 2404 Prospective study on long-term dietary patterns and incident depression in middle-aged
 2405 and older women. Am J Clin Nutr. 2013;98(3):813-20. PMID: 23885043.
 2406 http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23885043.
- 2407 194. Jacka FN, Cherbuin N, Anstey KJ, Butterworth P. Dietary patterns and depressive 2408 symptoms over time: examining the relationships with socioeconomic position, health 2409 behaviours and cardiovascular risk. PLoS One. 2014;9(1):e87657. PMID: 24489946. 2410 http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24489946.

- 2411 195. Le Port A, Gueguen A, Kesse-Guyot E, Melchior M, Lemogne C, Nabi H, et al.
- Association between dietary patterns and depressive symptoms over time: a 10-year
- 2413 follow-up study of the GAZEL cohort. PLoS One. 2012;7(12):e51593. PMID: 23251585. 2414 http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23251585.
- 2415 196. Lucas M, Chocano-Bedoya P, Shulze MB, Mirzaei F, O'Reilly É, Okereke OI, et al.
- Inflammatory dietary pattern and risk of depression among women. Brain Behav Immun. 2014;36:46-53. PMID: 24095894. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24095894.
- 2418 197. McMartin SE, Kuhle S, Colman I, Kirk SF, Veugelers PJ. Diet quality and mental health in subsequent years among Canadian youth. Public Health Nutr. 2012;15(12):2253-8.

 2420 PMID: 22414240. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22414240.
- 2421 198. Okubo H, Miyake Y, Sasaki S, Tanaka K, Murakami K, Hirota Y, et al. Dietary patterns 2422 during pregnancy and the risk of postpartum depression in Japan: the Osaka Maternal and 2423 Child Health Study. Br J Nutr. 2011;105(8):1251-7. PMID: 21144112. 2424 http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21144112.
- 2425 199. Rienks J, Dobson AJ, Mishra GD. Mediterranean dietary pattern and prevalence and incidence of depressive symptoms in mid-aged women: results from a large community-based prospective study. Eur J Clin Nutr. 2013;67(1):75-82. PMID: 23212131. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23212131.
- 2429 200. Ruusunen A, Lehto SM, Mursu J, Tolmunen T, Tuomainen TP, Kauhanen J, et al.
 2430 Dietary patterns are associated with the prevalence of elevated depressive symptoms and
 2431 the risk of getting a hospital discharge diagnosis of depression in middle-aged or older
 2432 Finnish men. J Affect Disord. 2014;159:1-6. PMID: 24679382.
 2433 http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24679382.
- 2434 201. Sánchez-Villegas A, Delgado-Rodríguez M, Alonso A, Schlatter J, Lahortiga F, Serra Majem L, et al. Association of the Mediterranean dietary pattern with the incidence of depression: the Seguimiento Universidad de Navarra/University of Navarra follow-up (SUN) cohort. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 2009;66(10):1090-8. PMID: 19805699. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19805699.
- 2439 202. Sánchez-Villegas A, Martínez-González MA, Estruch R, Salas-Salvadó J, Corella D,
 2440 Covas MI, et al. Mediterranean dietary pattern and depression: the PREDIMED
 2441 randomized trial. BMC Med. 2013;11:208. PMID: 24229349.
 2442 http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24229349.
- 2443 203. Skarupski KA, Tangney CC, Li H, Evans DA, Morris MC. Mediterranean diet and depressive symptoms among older adults over time. J Nutr Health Aging.
 2445 2013;17(5):441-5. PMID: 23636545. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23636545.
- 2446 204. Torres SJ, Nowson CA. A moderate-sodium DASH-type diet improves mood in postmenopausal women. Nutrition. 2012;28(9):896-900. PMID: 22480799. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22480799.
- Vilela AA, Farias DR, Eshriqui I, Vaz JoS, Franco-Sena AB, Castro MB, et al.
 Prepregnancy Healthy Dietary Pattern Is Inversely Associated with Depressive
 Symptoms among Pregnant Brazilian Women. J Nutr. 2014;144(10):1612-8. PMID:
 25143375. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25143375.
- 2453 206. Institute of Medicine (US) Committee to Review Dietary Reference Intakes for Vitamin
 2454 D and Calcium; Edited by A Catharine Ross CLT, Ann L Yaktine, and Heather B Del
 2455 Valle. Dietary Reference Intakes for Calcium and Vitamin D. Washington (DC): National
 2456 Academies Press (US); 2011.

- 2457 207. Benetou V, Orfanos P, Pettersson-Kymmer U, Bergstrom U, Svensson O, Johansson I, et al. Mediterranean diet and incidence of hip fractures in a European cohort. Osteoporos Int. 2013;24(5):1587-98. PMID: 23085859. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23085859.
- 2461 208. Bullo M, Amigo-Correig P, Marquez-Sandoval F, Babio N, Martinez-Gonzalez MA, 2462 Estruch R, et al. Mediterranean diet and high dietary acid load associated with mixed 2463 nuts: effect on bone metabolism in elderly subjects. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2009;57(10):1789-2464 98. PMID: 19807791. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19807791.
- 2465 209. Dai Z, Butler LM, van Dam RM, Ang LW, Yuan JM, Koh WP. Adherence to a
 2466 vegetable-fruit-soy dietary pattern or the Alternative Healthy Eating Index is associated
 2467 with lower hip fracture risk among Singapore Chinese. J Nutr. 2014;144(4):511-8. PMID:
 2468 24572035. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24572035.
- 2469 210. Feart C, Lorrain S, Ginder Coupez V, Samieri C, Letenneur L, Paineau D, et al.
 2470 Adherence to a Mediterranean diet and risk of fractures in French older persons.
 2471 Osteoporos Int. 2013;24(12):3031-41. PMID: 23783645.
 2472 http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23783645.
- 2473 211. Langsetmo L, Hanley DA, Prior JC, Barr SI, Anastassiades T, Towheed T, et al. Dietary
 2474 patterns and incident low-trauma fractures in postmenopausal women and men aged ≥ 50
 2475 y: a population-based cohort study. Am J Clin Nutr. 2011;93(1):192-9. PMID: 21068350.
 2476 http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21068350.
- 2477 212. Langsetmo L, Poliquin S, Hanley DA, Prior JC, Barr S, Anastassiades T, et al. Dietary patterns in Canadian men and women ages 25 and older: relationship to demographics, body mass index, and bone mineral density. BMC Musculoskelet Disord. 2010;11:20. PMID: 20109205. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20109205.
- McTiernan A, Wactawski-Wende J, Wu L, Rodabough RJ, Watts NB, Tylavsky F, et al.
 Low-fat, increased fruit, vegetable, and grain dietary pattern, fractures, and bone mineral density: the Women's Health Initiative Dietary Modification Trial. Am J Clin Nutr.
 2009;89(6):1864-76. PMID: 19403636. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19403636.
- 2485 214. Monjardino T, Lucas R, Ramos E, Barros H. Associations between a priori-defined 2486 dietary patterns and longitudinal changes in bone mineral density in adolescents. Public 2487 Health Nutr. 2014;17(1):195-205. PMID: 23149164. 2488 http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23149164.
- 2489 215. Monma Y, Niu K, Iwasaki K, Tomita N, Nakaya N, Hozawa A, et al. Dietary patterns 2490 associated with fall-related fracture in elderly Japanese: a population based prospective 2491 study. BMC Geriatr. 2010;10:31. PMID: 20513246. 2492 http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20513246.
- 2493 216. Noh HY, Song YJ, Lee JE, Joung H, Park MK, Li SJ, et al. Dietary patterns are
 2494 associated with physical growth among school girls aged 9-11 years. Nutr Res Pract.
 2495 2011;5(6):569-77. PMID: 22259683. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22259683.
- 2496 217. Park SJ, Joo SE, Min H, Park JK, Kim Y, Kim SS, et al. Dietary patterns and
 2497 osteoporosis risk in postmenopausal korean women. Osong Public Health Res Perspect.
 2498 2012;3(4):199-205. PMID: 24159515. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24159515.
- 2499 218. Samieri C, Ginder Coupez V, Lorrain S, Letenneur L, Alles B, Feart C, et al. Nutrient patterns and risk of fracture in older subjects: results from the Three-City Study.
 2501 Osteoporos Int. 2013;24(4):1295-305. PMID: 22976577.
- 2502 http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22976577.

2503 2504	219.	Wosje KS, Khoury PR, Claytor RP, Copeland KA, Hornung RW, Daniels SR, et al. Dietary patterns associated with fat and bone mass in young children. Am J Clin Nutr.
2505		2010;92(2):294-303. PMID: 20519562. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20519562.
2506	220.	George SM, Ballard-Barbash R, Manson JE, Reedy J, Shikany JM, Subar AF, et al.
2507		Comparing indices of diet quality with chronic disease mortality risk in postmenopausal
2508		women in the Women's Health Initiative Observational Study: evidence to inform
2509		national dietary guidance. Am J Epidemiol. 2014;180(6):616-25. PMID: 25035143.
2510		http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25035143.
2511		
2512		
2513		

Table D2.1. AHA/ACC/TOS Guideline for the Management of Overweight and Obesity in Adults, 2013

Critical Question 4a. Among overweight and obese adults, what is the efficacy/effectiveness of a comprehensive lifestyle intervention program (i.e., comprised of diet, physical activity, and behavior therapy) in facilitating weight loss or maintenance of lost weight?

Critical Question 4b. What characteristics of delivering comprehensive lifestyle interventions (e.g., frequency and duration of treatment, individual versus group sessions, onsite versus telephone/email contact) are associated with greater weight loss or weight loss maintenance?

Intervention/Question	Included Studies	Evidence Statement (Strength of Evidence)
3.4.1. Description of the Diet, Physical Activity, and Behavior Therapy Components in High-Intensity, Onsite Lifestyle Interventions	12 RCTs	ES1. The principal components of an effective high-intensity, on-site comprehensive-lifestyle intervention include: 1) prescription of a moderately-reduced calorie diet; 2) a program of increased physical activity; and 3) the use of behavioral strategies to facilitate adherence to diet and activity recommendations. (<i>High</i>)
3.4.2. Comprehensive Interventions Compared with Usual Care, Minimal Care, or No-Treatment Control	15 RCTs	ES 2a (Short-Term Weight Loss). In overweight and obese individuals in whom weight loss is indicated and who wish to lose weight, comprehensive lifestyle interventions consisting of diet, physical activity, and behavior therapy (all 3 components) produce average weight losses of up to 8 kg in 6 months of frequent (i.e., initially weekly), onsite treatment provided by a trained interventionist* in group or individual sessions. Such losses (which can approximate reductions of 5% to 10% of initial weight) are greater than those produced by usual care (i.e., characterized by the limited provision of advice or educational materials). Comparable 6-month weight losses have been observed in treatment comparison studies of comprehensive lifestyle interventions, which did not include a usual care group. (<i>High</i>) ES 2b (Intermediate-Term Weight Loss). Longer-term comprehensive lifestyle interventions, which additionally provide weekly to monthly on-site treatment for another 6 months, produce average weight losses of up to 8 kg at 1 year, losses which are greater than those resulting from usual care. Comparable 1-year weight losses have been observed in treatment comparison studies of comprehensive lifestyle interventions, which did not include a usual care group. (<i>Moderate</i>) ES 2c (Long-Term Weight Loss). Comprehensive lifestyle interventions which, after the first year, continue to provide bimonthly or more frequent intervention contacts, are associated with gradual weight regain of 1 to 2 kg/year (on average), from the weight loss achieved at 6 to 12 months. Long-term (>1 year) weight losses, however, remain larger than those associated with usual care. Comparable findings have been observed in treatment comparison studies of comprehensive lifestyle interventions, which did not include a usual care group. (<i>High</i>)
3.4.3. Efficacy/Effectiveness of Electronically Delivered, Comprehensive Interventions in Achieving Weight Loss Evidence Statement	13 RCTs	ES 3. Electronically delivered, comprehensive weight loss interventions developed in academic settings, which include frequent self-monitoring of weight, food intake, and physical activity—as well as personalized feedback from a trained interventionist*—can produce weight loss of up to 5 kg at 6 to 12 months, a loss which is greater than that resulting from no or minimal intervention (i.e., primarily knowledge based) offered on the internet or in print. (<i>Moderate</i>)
3.4.4. Efficacy/Effectiveness of Comprehensive, Telephone-Delivered Lifestyle Interventions in Achieving Weight Loss	3 RCTs	ES 4. In comprehensive lifestyle interventions that are delivered by telephone or face-to-face counseling, and which also include the use of either commercially-prepared prepackaged meals or an interactive web based program, the telephone delivered and face-to-face delivered interventions produced similar mean net weight losses of approximately 5 kg at 6 months and 24 months, compared with a usual care control group.

		(Low)
3.4.5. Efficacy/Effectiveness of Comprehensive Weight Loss Programs in Patients Within a Primary Care Practice Setting Compared With Usual Care	4 RCTs	ES 5. In studies to date, low to moderate-intensity lifestyle interventions for weight loss provided to overweight or obese adults by primary care practices alone, have not been shown to be effective. (Low)
3.4.6. Efficacy/Effectiveness of Commercial-Based, Comprehensive Lifestyle Interventions in Achieving Weight Loss	4 RCTs	ES 6. Commercial-based, comprehensive weight loss interventions that are delivered in person have been shown to induce an average weight loss of 4.8 kg to 6.6 kg at 6 months in 2 trials when conventional foods are consumed and 6.6 kg to 10.1 kg at 12 months in 2 trials with provision of prepared food, losses that are greater than those produced by minimal-treatment control interventions. (<i>Low</i>)
3.4.7. Efficacy/Effectiveness of Very Low-Calorie Diets, as Used as Part of a Comprehensive Lifestyle Intervention, in Achieving Weight Loss	4 RCTs	ES 7a. Comprehensive, high intensity on-site lifestyle interventions that include a medically supervised very low-calorie diet (often defined as <800 kcal/day), as provided by complete meal replacement products, produce total weight loss of approximately 14.2 kg to 21 kg over 11 to 14 weeks, which is larger than that produced by no intervention or a usual care control group (i.e., advice and education only). (<i>High</i>) ES 7b. Following the cessation of a high intensity lifestyle intervention with a medically supervised very-low calorie diet of 11 to 14 weeks, weight regain of 3.1 kg to 3.7 kg has been observed during the ensuing 21 to 38 weeks of non-intervention follow-up. (<i>High</i>) ES 7c. The prescription of various types (resistance or aerobic training) and doses of moderate intensity exercise training (e.g., brisk walking 135 to 250 minutes/week), delivered in conjunction with weight loss maintenance therapy does not reduce the amount of weight regained after the cessation of the very-low calorie diet, as compared with weight loss maintenance therapy alone. (<i>Low</i>)
3.4.8. Efficacy/Effectiveness of Comprehensive Lifestyle Interventions in Maintaining Lost Weight	14 RCTs	ES 8a. After initial weight loss, some weight regain can be expected, on average, with greater regain observed over longer periods of time. Continued provision of a comprehensive weight loss maintenance program (onsite or by telephone), for periods of up to 2.5 years following initial weight loss, reduces weight regain, as compared to the provision of minimal intervention (e.g., usual care). The optimal duration of weight loss maintenance programs has not been determined. (<i>Moderate</i>) ES 8b. 35% to 60% of overweight/obese adults who participate in a high intensity long-term comprehensive lifestyle intervention maintain a loss of ≥5% of initial body weight at ≥2 year's follow-up (post-randomization). (<i>Moderate</i>)
3.4.9. Characteristics of Lifestyle Intervention Delivery That May Affect Weight Loss: Intervention	10 RCTs	ES 9a (Moderate-Intensity Interventions). Moderate intensity, on-site comprehensive lifestyle interventions, which provide an average of 1 to 2 treatment sessions per month typically produce mean weight losses of 2 kg to 4 kg in 6 to 12 months, losses which generally are greater than those produced by usual care (i.e., minimal intervention control group). (<i>High</i>) ES 9b (Low-intensity Interventions). Low intensity, on-site comprehensive lifestyle interventions, which provide fewer than monthly treatment sessions do not consistently produce weight loss when compared to usual care. (<i>Moderate</i>) ES 9c (Effect of intervention intensity). When weight loss with each intervention intensity (i.e., low, moderate, and high) is compared to usual care, high-intensity lifestyle interventions (≥14 sessions in 6 months) typically produce greater net-of-control weight losses than low-to-moderate intensity interventions. (<i>Moderate</i>)

3.4.10. Characteristics of Lifestyle		ES 10. There do not appear to be substantial differences in the size of the weight losses produced by
Intervention Delivery That May Affect	15 RCTs	individual- and group-based sessions in high-intensity, comprehensive lifestyle intervention delivered on
Weight Loss or Weight		site by a trained interventionist*. (Low)
3.4.11. Characteristics of Lifestyle		ES 11. Weight losses observed in comprehensive lifestyle interventions, which are delivered onsite by a
Intervention Delivery That May Affect		trained interventionist* in initially weekly and then biweekly group or individual sessions, are generally
Weight Loss or Weight Loss Maintenance:		greater than weight losses observed in comprehensive interventions that are delivered by Internet or email
Onsite Versus Electronically Delivered		and which include feedback from a trained interventionist. (Low)
Interventions		

2515